



The Mathematical Proof for the Beal Conjecture

Oluwatobiloba Solomon Olanrewaju¹ and Kehinde Kazeem Kanmodi^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics Education, University of Ibadan (OSCOED Campus), Ilesa, Nigeria.

²Cephas Health Research Initiative, Ibadan, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between the authors. Author OSO conceptualized the study idea. The first draft of the manuscript was written by author OSO. Both authors wrote the subsequent drafts together. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2017/29996

Editor(s):

(1) Dijana Masic, Department of Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia.

(2) Qiankun Song, Department of Mathematics, Chongqing Jiaotong University, China.

Reviewers:

(1) Anonymous, University Laval, Canada.

(2) Auffray Jean-Paul, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, USA and American Institute of Physics, New York, USA.

(3) Anonymous, University of Brasilia, Brazil.

(4) Gnanam Azhagarajan, Bharathidasan University, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/17888>

Received: 11th October 2016

Accepted: 15th January 2017

Published: 18th February 2017

Original Research Article

Abstract

The Beal conjecture is a number theory formulated in 1993 by the billionaire banker, Mr Andrew Beal. Mr Beal, very recently, declared a one-million-dollar award for the proof of this number theory. As at present, no proof of this conjecture has been generally found. In this article, we provided the proof for Beal conjecture in a crystal-clear systematic approach.

Keywords: Proof; Beal conjecture; number theory.

1 Introduction

In 1993, Andrew Beal, a Texas banker and a number theory enthusiast formulated a number theory which he called the "Beal conjecture" [1-3]. In 1997, Mr Beal publicly declared to offer a \$5,000 prize for the proof. Recently, he increased the prize to a sum of \$1,000,000 [1-3].

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kanmodikehinde@yahoo.com;

Quite a number of mathematicians have published research articles on the proof of Beal conjecture, however they failed to provide a definitive proof for the conjecture [1,3-8].

We present such a proof in this paper.

2 The Beal Conjecture

Let A, B, C, X, Y, Z be positive integers, with X, Y, and Z greater than 2. The Beal conjecture [3] states that if:

$$A^X + B^Y = C^Z \tag{1}$$

then A, B, C must have a common prime factor.

3 Conventions

In order to present our proof as simply and clearly as possible, we shall make use in what follows of symbols and conventions presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Symbols and conventions

Symbols*	Concepts represented
$O_x, O_y,$ and O_z	Odd integer: 1,3,5,7,...
$E_x, E_y,$ and E_z	Even integer: 2,4,6,8,...

4 Cases of Addition Operations

We observed that three distinct cases can occur for addition operations between two positive integers:

1) **Case 1:**

$$O_x + O_y = E_z$$

2) **Case 2:**

$$O_x + E_x = O_y$$

3) **Case 3:**

$$E_x + E_y = E_z$$

5 Verification

Others before us have established a highly interesting fact: any legitimate solution to the Beal conjecture equation necessarily involves three terms each of which is a “3-powerful number”.

Reminder: in a 3-powerful number the exponent of each of its prime factors is at least three.

We shall use this powerful condition as a verification of the numerical examples we present hereafter.

6 The Proof

Below is the proof for the Beal conjecture, using the three cases in Section 4 as case studies.

6.1 Case 1

$$O_x + O_y = E_z$$

Let 'O_x' be A

Let 'O_y' be B

Let 'E_z' be C

$$A + B = C \tag{2}$$

Let A = 1, let B ≠ 1, and let C ≠ 1

Equation (2) is an ordinary addition operation, and the components A, B, and C are expressible in exponential forms.

Let B = B₁^{y₁}, where y₁ ≥ 3. Let C = C₁^{z₁}, where z₁ ≥ 1

$$1 + B_1^{y_1} = C_1^{z_1} \tag{3}$$

The authors observed that if C₁^{y₁} multiplies through equation (3), a Beal conjecture can be formed.

$$1 \times C_1^{y_1} + B_1^{y_1} \times C_1^{y_1} = C_1^{z_1} \times C_1^{y_1} \tag{4}$$

By applying the laws of indices, we can have:

$$C_1^{y_1} + (B_1 \times C_1)^{y_1} = C_1^{z_1 + y_1} \tag{5}$$

C₁ is the common factor in in equation (5). Note that C₁ > 1, and any number > 1 has a prime factor.

Comment: Beal conjecture is true for case 1

6.1.1 Numerical example

- i) Derive a Beal conjecture from the equation 1 + 27 = 28.

Solution

$$1 + 27 = 28$$

$$1 + 3^3 = 28^1$$

Multiplying through by 28³

$$1 \times 28^3 + 3^3 \times 28^3 = 28^1 \times 28^3$$

Applying the rules of indices, we have:

$$28^3 + (3 \times 28)^3 = (28)^{1+3}$$

$$28^3 + 84^3 = 28^4$$

2 and 7 are common prime common factors in the equation 28³ + 84³ = 28⁴.

ii) Derive a Beal conjecture from the equation $125 + 27 = 152$

Solution

$$125 + 27 = 152$$

$$5^3 + 3^3 = 152^1$$

Multiplying through by 152^3

$$152^3 \times 5^3 + 152^3 \times 3^3 = 152^1 \times 152^3$$

Applying the rules of indices, we have:

$$(152 \times 5)^3 + (152 \times 3)^3 = (152)^{1+3}$$

$$760^3 + 760^3 = 152^4$$

2, 5 and 19 are common prime common factors in the equation $760^3 + 760^3 = 152^4$.

6.2 Case 2

$$O_x + E_y = O_z$$

Let 'O_x' be A

Let 'E_y' be B

Let 'O_z' be C

$$A + B = C \tag{6}$$

Let A = 1, let B ≠ 1, and let C ≠ 1

Equation (6) is an ordinary addition operation, and the components A, B, and C are expressible in exponential forms.

Let B = B₁^{y₁}, where y₁ ≥ 3. Let C = C₁^{z₁}, where z₁ ≥ 1

$$1 + B_1^{y_1} = C_1^{z_1} \tag{7}$$

The authors observed that if C₁^{y₁} multiplies through equation (7), a Beal conjecture can be formed.

$$1 \times C_1^{y_1} + B_1^{y_1} \times C_1^{y_1} = C_1^{z_1} \times C_1^{y_1} \tag{8}$$

By applying the laws of indices, we can have:

$$C_1^{y_1} + (B_1 \times C_1)^{y_1} = C_1^{z_1 + y_1} \tag{9}$$

C₁ is the common factor in in equation (9). Note that C₁ > 1, and any number > 1 has a prime factor.

Comment: Beal conjecture is true for case 2.

6.2.1 Numerical example

Derive a Beal conjecture from the equation $1 + 8 = 9$

Solution

$$1 + 8 = 9$$

$$1 + 2^3 = 3^2$$

Multiplying through by 3^3

$$1 \times 3^3 + 2^3 \times 3^3 = 3^2 \times 3^3$$

By applying the laws of indices, we can have:

$$3^3 + (2 \times 3)^3 = 3^{2+3}$$

3 is the common prime factor in the equation $3^3 + 6^3 = 3^5$.

6.3 Case 3

$$E_x + E_y = E_z$$

Let 'E_x' be A

Let 'E_y' be B

Let 'E_z' be C

$$A + B = C \tag{10}$$

Equation (10) is an ordinary addition operation, and the components A, B, and C are expressible in exponential forms:

$$2^{x1} + 2^{y1} = 2^{z1} \tag{11}$$

The authors observed that if 2^{y2} , where $y2$ is an integer ≥ 2 , multiplies through equation (11), a Beal conjecture will be formed.

$$2^{x1} \times 2^{y2} + 2^{y1} \times 2^{y2} = 2^{z1} \times 2^{y2} \tag{12}$$

By applying the laws of indices, we can have:

$$2^{x1+y2} + 2^{y1+y2} = 2^{z1+y2} \tag{13}$$

In equation (13), 2 is a common prime factor.

Comment: Beal conjecture is true for case 3.

6.3.1 Numerical example

Derive a Beal conjecture from the equation $2 + 2 = 4$

Solution

$$2^1 + 2^1 = 2^2$$

Multiplying through by 2^2

$$2^1 \times 2^2 + 2^1 \times 2^2 = 2^2 \times 2^2$$

By applying the laws of indices, we can have:

$$2^{1+2} + (2)^{1+2} = 2^{2+2}$$

$$2^3 + 2^3 = 2^4$$

2 is the common prime factor in the equation $2^3 + 2^3 = 2^4$.

7 Conclusion

The Beal conjecture is true.

Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate the journal reviewers and editors for the in-depth review of this manuscript. We also appreciate the editorial committee of the 2016 International Science, Technology, Education, Arts, Management & Social Sciences (iSTEAMS) Multidisciplinary Innovation & Technology Transfer (MINTT) Conference, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria, for accepting this paper for presentation at this conference.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Mauldin DR. A generalization of Fermat's last theorem. The Beal conjecture and prize problem. Notices of American Mathematical Society. 1997;44:1436-39.
- [2] Olanrewaju OS, Kanmodi KK. The proof for the Beal conjecture: A mathematical analysis. Proceedings of the iSTEAMS MINTT Conference, Sept 27-29, 2016, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria. 2016;43-46.
- [3] The Beal Conjecture. The Beal Conjecture www.bealconjecture.com (accessed on November 12, 2016).
- [4] Nyambuya GG. A simple and general proof of Beal's conjecture (I). Advances in Pure Mathematics 2014;4:518-21.
- [5] Gula LW. The proof of the Beal's conjecture. The Bulletin of Society for Mathematical Services and Standards. 2014;12:21-28.
- [6] Mage DT. Proving the Beal conjecture. International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology 2014;13(2):96.
- [7] Gregorio LTD. Proof for the Beal conjecture and a new proof for Fermat's last theorem. Pure and Applied Mathematics. 2013;2(5):149-155.
- [8] Mourya T. The Beal conjecture. International Journal of Research. 2014;1(7):420-42.

© 2017 Olanrewaju and Kanmodi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/17888>