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#### Abstract

There are many information measures existing in the literature of information theory. Most of them are Shannon, Burg, Renyi, Havrda-Charvat, Tsallis etc. A dozen methods of obtaining inequalities by using the properties of measures of information and directed-divergence [1,2] are given. Verma [3,4] information measures has attracted attention for a new class of inequalities during the tenure of investigation. The purpose of this communication is that to extrapolate novel inequalities associated with Verma information measures and different statistical distributions.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1972, J. P. Burg [5] gave his well-known measure as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}(P)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln p_{i} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to measure its uncertainty or entropy for any given discrete-variate probability distribution $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ and later in 2012, Verma [2,3] measure of uncertainty or entropy for the same probability distribution $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a}(P)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(1+a p_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln p_{i}+\ln (1+a), \quad a>0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is simple to demonstrate that $V_{a}(P) \rightarrow V_{0}(P)$. By using several theoretical probability distributions, both discrete and continuous, we may use this approach to create several inequalities for this measure $[3,6]$.

Since 1997, Kapur [1] gave a number of inequalities for various measures of entropy in the case of discrete as well as continuous. He used the concept that if $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ be a probability distribution and $H_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ be any measure of entropy, then since entropy is always maximum for the uniform distribution, then we get the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, p_{n}\right) \leq H_{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular by using measures of entropy due to Shannon [7], Havrda and Charvat [8], Renyi [9], Kapur [10] we get the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln p_{i} \leq \ln n & \\
\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha}-1\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left(n^{1-\alpha}-1\right), & \alpha \neq 1, \quad \alpha>0 \\
\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\left(\ln \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha}\right) \leq \ln n, & \alpha \neq 1, \quad \alpha>0 \\
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \ln p_{i}+\frac{1}{a}\left(1+a p_{i}\right) \ln \left(1+a p_{i}\right) \leq \ln n+\frac{1}{a}(n+a) \ln \left(1+\frac{a}{n}\right), a \geq-1 \tag{1.7}
\end{array}
$$

Here $P=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ may be any positive number whose sum is unity. Alternatively, we may take any $n$ arbitrary positive numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, a_{n}$ and replace in each of the inequalities (1.4) to (1.7) $p_{i}$ by $\frac{a_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}$ to get inequalities [6] holding between any $n$ positive numbers.

Similarly, let $f(x)$ be the density function for a continuous random variable defined over the interval $[a, b]$ and let a measure of entropy for the distribution be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(f)=\int_{a}^{b} \emptyset(f(x)) d x \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then since the maximum entropy occurs when the distribution is uniform, we get the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \emptyset(f(x)) d x \leq(b-a) \emptyset\left(\frac{1}{b-a}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by using the continuous variate versions of the entropy measures used in previous case, we get the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \ln f(x) d x \leq \ln (b-a) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x) d x \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(b-a)^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \neq 1, \alpha>0 \\
\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \int_{a}^{b} f^{\alpha}(x) d x \leq \ln (b-a), & \alpha \neq 1, \quad \alpha>0 \\
-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \ln f(x) d x+\frac{1}{c} \int_{a}^{b}(1+c f(x)) \ln (1+c f(x)) d x \leq \\
\ln (b-a)+\frac{1}{c}((b-a)+c) \ln \frac{(b-a)+c}{b-a} & \tag{1.13}
\end{array}
$$

Since we can have an infinity of density functions $f(x)$, each of these gives us an infinity of inequalities. The similar argument we can use for directed-divergence.

This paper highlights the inequalities on the basis of the new parametric measures of entropy i.e. Verma [3, 4, 11] measures of entropy under the pre-described limiting condition i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a}(P) \rightarrow V_{0}(P) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that we can write any number of inequalities. Some of these may not be interesting or useful, but some of these can be useful. Moreover it may be difficult to prove these without using the measure of entropy. The next section 2 uses a variety of theoretical probability distributions to illustrate these inequality.

## 2 New Results

### 2.1 Use of beta distribution

Theorem: 1.1 If $m$ and $n$ are the positive integers, which are causally related to each other, then show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(m, n) \geq e^{-(m+n-2)}, \quad m, n>0 \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The probability density function for the beta distribution is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{\beta(m, n)} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1} \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, in continuous variate case

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a=0}(P)=\int_{0}^{1} \ln f(x) d x \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, $\quad V_{a=0}(P)=\int_{0}^{1} \ln \left[\frac{1}{\beta(m, n)} x^{m-1}(1-x)^{n-1}\right] d x$
$=\int_{0}^{1}[-\ln \beta(m, n)+(m-1) \ln x+(n-1) \ln (1-x)] d x$
$=-\ln \beta(m, n)+(m-1)(-1)+(n-1)(-1) \leq 0$
$=\ln \beta(m, n)+(m+n-2) \geq 0$
or, $\quad \beta(m, n) \geq e^{-(m+n-2)}, \quad m, n>0$.

### 2.2 Use of binomial distribution

Theorem: 2.1 If $r$ and $n$ are causally related to each other, then show that

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln p^{r} q^{n-r} \leq n(n+1) \ln \frac{1}{2}
$$

and, in the case of uniform distribution

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}} \leq(n+1)(n \ln 2-\ln (n+1))
$$

Proof: Since, for the binomial distribution

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{r}=n_{c_{r}} p^{r} q^{n-r} .  \tag{2.1.1}\\
& \text { So that, } \quad \sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln P_{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}} p^{r} q^{n-r}  \tag{2.1.2}\\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}}+\ln p \sum_{r=0}^{n} r+\ln q \sum_{r=0}^{n}(n-r) \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}}+\ln p \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2}+\ln q \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2}  \tag{2.1.3}\\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \ln p q \tag{2.1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

This is maximum when $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln p^{r} q^{n-r} \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln p^{r} q^{n-r} \leq n(n+1) \ln \frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is otherwise obvious.
Again, in the case of uniform distribution, the maximum entropy of binomial distribution for this measure will be $\leq-(n+1) \ln (n+1)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \ln p q \leq-(n+1) \ln (n+1) \tag{2.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, $\sum_{r=0}^{n} \ln n_{c_{r}} \leq(n+1)(n \ln 2-\ln (n+1))$

### 2.3 Use of truncated binomial distribution

Theorem: 3.1 If $r$ and $n$ are causally related to each other, then prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \frac{n_{c_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}}}{1-p^{n}-q^{n}} \leq \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \frac{n_{c_{r}(1 / 2)^{n}}}{1-2(1 / 2)^{n}} \\
& \text { and, } \frac{\left(1-2(1 / 2)^{n}\right)^{2 / n}}{\left[1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}\right]^{2 / n}} \leq \frac{1 / 4}{p(1-p)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Now, consider the truncated binomial distribution

So that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln P_{r}=\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \frac{{ }_{c_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}}}{1-p^{n}-q^{n}}  \tag{3.1.2}\\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln n_{c_{r}} p^{r} q^{n-r}-\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \left(1-p^{n}-q^{n}\right)  \tag{3.1.3}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{r=1 \\
\\
\\
\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \left(1-p^{n} \\
n-1\right.} q_{c_{r}}+\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln p^{r}+\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln q^{n-r}-}
\end{align*}
$$

Let, $\quad F(P)=$ Constant $+\ln p \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} r+\ln q \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(n-r)-$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \left(1-p^{n}-q^{n}\right) \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\text { Constant }+\ln p \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2}+\ln q \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2}-\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \left(1-p^{n}-q^{n}\right) \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(P)=\text { Constant }+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}[\ln p+\ln (1-p)]- \\
& \quad(n-1) \ln \left(1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}\right) \tag{3.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

so that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime}(P)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{1-p}\right]- \\
& (n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}}\left[-n p^{n-1}+n(1-p)^{n-1}\right]  \tag{3.1.8}\\
& \quad=0 \text { when } p=q=\frac{1}{2} \tag{3.1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{\prime \prime}(P)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left[-\frac{1}{p^{2}}-\frac{1}{(1-p)^{2}}\right]+ \\
& n(n-1)\left[\frac{(n-1) p^{n-2}+(n-1)(1-p)^{n-2}}{1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}}-\frac{p^{n-1}-(1-p)^{n-1}}{\left(1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}\right)^{2}} \cdot\left(-n p^{n-1}+n(1-p)^{n-1}\right)\right] \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily shown that $F^{\prime \prime}(P)<0$ when $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$, then this entropy is maximum when $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$.
Hence, this entropy is maximum when $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$ i.e.

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \frac{n_{c_{r} p^{r} q^{n-r}}}{1-p^{n}-q^{n}} \leq \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \ln \frac{n_{c_{r}(1 / 2)^{n}}}{1-2(1 / 2)^{n}}
$$

and, $\quad \frac{n}{2} \ln p(1-p)-\ln \left(1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}\right) \leq \frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{1}{4}-\ln \left[1-2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n}\right]$
or, $\frac{\left(1-2(1 / 2)^{n}\right)^{2 / n}}{\left[1-p^{n}-(1-p)^{n}\right]^{2 / n}} \leq \frac{1 / 4}{p(1-p)}$.

### 2.4 Use of truncated normal distribution

Theorem: 4.1 If $a$ and $x$ are causally related to each other, then show that

$$
\int_{0}^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x \leq a e^{-\frac{a^{2}}{6}}
$$

Proof: The probability density function, for the truncated normal distribution, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}}{\int_{0}^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq a \\
& \text { so that, } \quad \int_{0}^{a} \ln f(x) d x=\int_{0}^{a} \ln \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}}{\int_{0}^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x}\right) d x  \tag{4.1.1}\\
& =\int_{0}^{a} \ln e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x-\int_{0}^{a} \ln \left(\int_{0}^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x\right) d x \tag{4.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

On taking $\emptyset(a)=\int_{0}^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x$ we get,
$\int_{0}^{a}\left(-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\ln \emptyset(a)\right) d x \leq-a \ln a$
or, $\quad \frac{a^{3}}{6}+a \ln \emptyset(a) \leq a \ln a$
or, $\int_{0}^{a} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x \leq a e^{-\frac{a^{2}}{6}}$

### 2.5 Use of geometric distribution

Theorem: 5.1 If $r$ and $N$ are causally related to each other, then show that

$$
\ln \frac{\rho^{N / 2}}{1+\rho+\rho^{2} \ldots+\rho^{N}} \leq \ln \frac{1}{N+1}
$$

Proof: In Geometric distribution,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{r}=\frac{(1-\rho) \rho^{r}}{1-\rho^{N+1}}, \quad r=0,1,2, \ldots, N .  \tag{5.1.1}\\
& \text { So that, } \quad \sum_{r=0}^{N} \ln P_{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{N} \ln \left(\frac{(1-\rho) \rho^{r}}{1-\rho^{N+1}}\right)  \tag{5.1.2}\\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{N} \ln (1-\rho)+\sum_{r=0}^{N} \ln \rho^{r}-\sum_{r=0}^{N} \ln \left(1-\rho^{N+1}\right)  \tag{5.1.3}\\
& =(N+1) \ln (1-\rho)+\ln \rho \cdot \frac{N(N+1)}{2}-(N+1) \ln \left(1-\rho^{N+1}\right)  \tag{5,1.4}\\
& =(N+1) .\left[\ln (1-\rho)+\frac{N}{2} \ln \rho-\ln \left(1-\rho^{N+1}\right)\right]  \tag{5.1.5}\\
& \text { or, } \quad(N+1) \ln \left(\frac{(1-\rho) \rho^{N / 2}}{\left(1-\rho^{N+1}\right)}\right) \leq-(N+1) \ln (N+1)  \tag{5.1.6}\\
& \text { or, } \quad \ln \frac{\rho^{N / 2}}{1+\rho+\rho^{2} \ldots+\rho^{N}} \leq \ln \frac{1}{N+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The maximum value of L.H.S. occur when $\rho=1$.

### 2.6 Use of exponential distribution

Theorem: 6.1 If $a$ and $b$ are causally related to each other, then show that

$$
a b \leq 2 \sin h a b / 2, \text { when } a b>0
$$

Proof: In an exponential distribution, the probability density function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{a e^{-a x}}{1-e^{-a b}}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq b \tag{6.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{b} \ln f(x) d x=\int_{0}^{b} \ln \left(\frac{a e^{-a x}}{1-e^{-a b}}\right) d x  \tag{6.1.2}\\
& =\int_{0}^{b} \ln a d x+\int_{0}^{b} \ln e^{-a x} d x-\int_{0}^{b} \ln \left(1-e^{-a b}\right) d x  \tag{6.1.3}\\
& =b \ln a-\frac{a b^{2}}{2}-b \ln \left(1-e^{-a b}\right)  \tag{6.1.4}\\
& \text { Now, } \quad b \ln a-\frac{a b^{2}}{2}-b \ln \left(1-e^{-a b}\right) \leq-b \ln b  \tag{6.1.5}\\
& \text { or, } \quad \ln a-\frac{a b}{2}-\ln \left(1-e^{-a b}\right) \leq \ln \frac{1}{b}  \tag{6.1.6}\\
& \text { or, } \quad \ln a-\ln \frac{1}{b}-\ln \left(1-e^{-a b}\right) \leq \frac{a b}{2}  \tag{6.1.7}\\
& \text { or, } \quad \ln \left(\frac{a b}{1-e^{-a b}}\right) \leq \frac{a b}{2}  \tag{6.1.8}\\
& \text { or, } \quad \frac{a b}{1-e^{-a b}} \leq e^{a b / 2}  \tag{6.1.9}\\
& \text { or } \\
& \text { If } a b=x \text { then, } \quad x \leq e^{x / 2}-e^{-x / 2} \\
& \text { or, } \quad x \leq 2 \sin h x / 2 \text {, when } x>0 . \tag{6.1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be easily proved otherwise since

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { If } & f(x)=x-2 \sin h x / 2, & f(0)=0 \\
\text { and, } & f^{\prime}(x)=1-\cos h x / 2, & f^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
\text { also, } & f^{\prime}(0)<0 \text { when } x<0 \text { or } x>0 .
\end{array}
$$

## 3 Conclusion

These inequalities are useful in the study of channel capacity in wired and wireless communication system in the presence of noise and solving many problems related to information sciences.

On the other hand these inequalities are useful in the domain of marketing management where a number of unbalance problems arises such as price and demand, demand and supply, transportation problems etc. and by the help of these inequalities we can make our strategy and plan. Such type of problems can be solved by optimization technique under subject to the some constraints.

Thus, these inequalities are useful for making some new ideas and thoughts in the domain of marketing management, science and technology.
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