



Response of Potassium Humate as Foliar Sprays on Growth Parameters, Chlorophyll Content, Yield and Quality of Cowpea

Archana Sachin Tathe ^{a++*}, Sayali Avinash Gode ^{a#},
and Sampat Shankar Kolape ^{at}

^a College of Agriculture, Karad (415 124), MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors AST and SSK conceived and designed the research and wrote the manuscript. Author SAG conducted the experiment and analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJSSPN/2024/v10i2278

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115825>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/02/2024
Accepted: 16/04/2024
Published: 20/04/2024

ABSTRACT

Aim: Humic substances plays a vital role in soil fertility and plant nutrition. Application of humic substances within a fairly wide range of concentration are highly beneficial to plant root development. Humic acid is excellent foliar fertilizer carrier and an activator. The present investigation was conducted to study the response of foliar application of potassium humate extracted from good quality *Farm Yard Manure* (FYM), on growth parameters, chlorophyll content, yield and quality of cowpea.

Study Design: Completely Randomized Design with three replications and seven treatments.

Place and Duration of the Study: The research was conducted in *rabi* 2021 at the College of Agriculture, Karad, Maharashtra, India.

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor, Soil Science;

[#] M. Sc. Student;

[†] Associate Professor, Soil Science;

*Corresponding author: Email: archana.tathe@gmail.com;

Methodology: Good quality FYM was used to extract potassium salt of humic acid. The extracted potassium humate was used as foliar application (at two different growth stages) at different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 ppm) along with General Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (GRDF), comprising seven treatments that were laid in a completely randomized design with three replications.

Results: The results indicated that two foliar applications of potassium humate @ 400 ppm + GRDF (T₆) to cowpea plants significantly increased growth parameters viz. average number of seeds per pod (18.0), 100 seed weight (9.59 g), seed yield (91.10 g pot⁻¹) and dry straw yield (113.88 g pot⁻¹), total chlorophyll content and protein content compared to absolute control (T₁) and GRDF + water spray (T₂).

Conclusion: The study concluded that foliar applications of potassium humate @ 400 ppm + GRDF significantly improved growth parameters, total chlorophyll, yield and protein content (21.42%) of cowpea seed under pot culture condition.

Keywords: Potassium humate; foliar spray; yield; protein content; cowpea.

1. INTRODUCTION

Organic matter is considered as the “Life of soil” due to its importance in maintaining the fertility of soil, it's become a major threat to food security in the years to come. Organic sources provide substantial quantities of nutrient elements well as humus which helps in improving physical, chemical and biological properties of soil [1]. Since, availability of good quality organic manure is declining now a day, use of humic substances extracted from locally available organic manure viz. FYM is a best option for chemical free and nutritious agricultural produce. Plant growth is influenced directly and indirectly by humic substances. Positive correlations between the humus content of the soil, plant yields and product quality have been published in many scientific journals. Foliar fertilizers containing humic acid in combination with N, P, K and various micro minerals have been demonstrated to be from 100 to 500 percent more efficient compared to application of similar fertilizer to soil. Foliar fertilizers are also more economical because smaller quantities of fertilizers are required to obtain significant plant response. Plant nutrients within foliar fertilizers are rapidly absorbed by plant leaves. Foliar fertilization can be absorbed from 8 to 20 times as efficient as ground application [2]. Significant improvement in growth parameters, physiological indices, chlorophyll content and in yield of various crops were reported by many researchers [3-7] due to the foliar application of humic acid.

The estimated area cultivated with cowpea in Maharashtra is 18.1 thousand hectares with a production of 12 thousand tons with average productivity of 663 kg ha⁻¹ [8]. Considering

today's trend of organic farming and food security for improving the quality of cowpea as well as productivity, the experiment was conducted with a view to study the response of potassium salt of humic acid as foliar sprays with GRDF for improving total chlorophyll content, growth parameters, yield and quality of cowpea.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Extraction of Potassium Salt of Humic Acid

The study was conducted at College of Agriculture, Karad. Well decomposed FYM having good quality and maturity parameters was used for the pot culture experiment as well as for extraction of humic substances with KOH (0.5 M) and analyzed for E₄/E₆ ratio of HA (Humic Acid), HA/FA ratio by adopting standard methods. Humic fractions extracted from FYM by following modified methods [9 and 10] with 0.5 M KOH extractant.

2.2 Treatments and Pot Culture Experimental Procedure

The Inceptisol soil was used for pot culture experiment, collected from College of Agriculture Farm, Karad and was analyzed for initial soil properties by following standard methods of analysis. Pots were filled with 20 kg soil and imposed with seven treatments viz. T₁ (Absolute control), T₂ (GRDF (FYM 5t ha⁻¹ + 25:50 N:P₂O₅ kg ha⁻¹ + water spray)), T₃ (GRDF + Potassium humate foliar spray @ 100ppm), T₄ (GRDF + Potassium humate foliar spray @ 200 ppm), T₅ (GRDF + Potassium humate foliar spray @ 300 ppm), T₆ (GRDF + Potassium humate foliar spray @ 400 ppm), T₇

(GRDF + Potassium humate foliar spray @ 500 ppm). Treatment wise concentrations of potassium humate for foliar sprays were prepared from 1000 ppm stock solution of potassium humate. First foliar spray was applied at flower initiation and second at pod formation stage. The experiment was conducted in completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications.

2.3 Data Collection

Two healthy plants out of five kept in each pot were used to record the data on total chlorophyll content, growth contributing characters and post harvest observations as mentioned below.

2.3.1 Plant height

Plant height at 50% flowering and at maturity was recorded using a ruler.

2.3.2 Number of branches

After application of potassium humate foliar sprays, first at flower initiation and second at pod formation stage, number of leaves were counted and the data were recorded at 50% flowering and at maturity, respectively.

2.3.3 Total chlorophyll content

Total chlorophyll content at 50% flowering and at pod formation stage was determined and the data was reported.

2.3.4 Average number of seeds per pod

After harvest of cowpea plants, the average number of seeds per pod were recorded.

2.3.5 Seed yield and 100 seed weight

Seed yield (g pot⁻¹) and 100 seed weight (g) of cowpea were recorded after harvest of cowpea plants.

2.3.6 Straw yield

Fresh and dry straw yield of cowpea was determined and the data was recorded for further analysis.

2.3.7 Protein content in cowpea seed

The quality parameter of cowpea i.e. protein content was determined following standard method [11].

2.3.8 Root length

After removal of above ground part of the crop at harvest, roots were removed from soil, washed with water and used to record the root length.

2.4 Data Analysis

The data regarding each parameter were tabulated and analyzed statistically by applying standard method [12].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characterization of Potassium Humate and FYM

FYM used for extraction of potassium salt of humic acid, contained humic acid (HA) to the tune of 13.48 g 100g⁻¹ organic matter with HA/FA ratio of 0.72 (Table 1). The extinction coefficient (E₄/E₆ ratio) of HA was 4.1, suggested that formation of high molecular weight substances during humification and aromatic in nature. Similar results were also reported by [13] and [14].

3.2 Initial Soil Fertility Status of the Soil used for Pot Culture Experiment

The soil used for pot culture experiment was slightly alkaline with medium organic carbon content (0.57%), calcareous in nature with lowest available N (188.2 kg ha⁻¹), medium for P₂O₅ (16.5 kg ha⁻¹) and high in K₂O (608.2 kg ha⁻¹) content.

Table 1. Content and characteristics of humic substances extracted from FYM

1.	Humic substances (g 100g ⁻¹ OM)	Value
a	Humic acid-(HA)	13.48
b	Fulvic acid-(FA)	18.62
c	HA/FAratio	0.72
2.	E ₄ /E ₆ ratio	
a	Humic acid	4.1
b	Fulvic acid	7.2

Table 2. Effect of foliar application of potassium humate on growth parameters and total chlorophyll content of cowpea

Tr. No.	Treatment	At 50 % flowering		At maturity		Total chlorophyll content (mg g ⁻¹)	
		Plant height (cm)	No. of branches	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches	At flower initiation stage	At pod formation stage
T ₁	Absolute control	29.73	5.33	37.97	8.00	1.06	1.00
T ₂	GRDF (25:50 N:P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹ +FYM 5 t ha ⁻¹) + water spray	31.33	5.50	41.50	9.33	1.18	1.22
T ₃	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 100 ppm	33.27	5.83	43.20	10.33	1.22	1.25
T ₄	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 200 ppm	34.37	6.17	48.03	11.67	1.23	1.25
T ₅	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 300 ppm	35.73	6.33	47.93	13.67	1.24	1.26
T ₆	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 400 ppm	40.66	6.50	52.54	15.33	1.35	1.38
T ₇	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 500 ppm	40.03	6.67	50.69	14.67	1.32	1.34
	S.E. ±	1.09	0.30	1.3	0.58	0.036	0.032
	C.D. at 5 %	3.31	NS	3.96	1.75	0.110	0.100

(GRDF- General Recommended Dose of Fertilizers)

Table 3. Effect of foliar application of potassium humate on post harvest observations of cowpea

Tr. No.	Treatment	Average number of seeds /pod	100 seed wt. (g)	Root length (cm)
T ₁	Absolute control	11.33	13.57	12.63
T ₂	GRDF (25:50 N:P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @ 5 t ha ⁻¹) + water spray	12.67	15.86	13.53
T ₃	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 100 ppm	14.33	16.12	15.34
T ₄	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 200 ppm	15.00	17.10	18.87
T ₅	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 300 ppm	15.67	17.59	19.43
T ₆	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 400 ppm	18.00	19.59	22.40
T ₇	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 500 ppm	17.83	19.12	22.00
	S.E. ±	0.70	0.66	0.67
	C.D. at 5 %	2.11	1.99	2.04

(GRDF- General Recommended Dose of Fertilizers)

Table 4. Effect of foliar application of potassium humate on seed and straw yield of cowpea

Tr. No.	Treatment	Seed yield (g pot ⁻¹)	Straw yield (g pot ⁻¹)		Protein (%)
			Fresh	Dry	
T ₁	Absolute control	75.04	312.67	93.80	18.56
T ₂	GRDF (25:50 N:P ₂ O ₅ kg ha ⁻¹ + FYM @5 t ha ⁻¹) + water spray	82.03	341.80	102.54	19.60
T ₃	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 100 ppm	82.63	344.33	103.29	20.33
T ₄	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 200 ppm	83.02	345.93	103.78	20.52
T ₅	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 300 ppm	84.53	352.23	105.67	20.56
T ₆	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 400 ppm	91.10	379.53	113.88	21.42
T ₇	GRDF + potassium humate foliar spray @ 500 ppm	90.97	379.03	113.71	21.23
	S.E. ±	2.07	8.63	2.59	0.28
	C.D. at 5 %	6.28	26.17	7.85	0.84

(GRDF- General Recommended Dose of Fertilizers)

3.3 Effect of Potassium Humate as Foliar Application on Growth Parameters of Cowpea

3.3.1 Plant height

The application of different concentrations of potassium humate as foliar sprays along with GRDF significantly influenced the plant height of cowpea at 50% flowering and at maturity (Table 2). The significantly highest plant height at 50% flowering (40.66 cm) and at maturity (52.54 cm) noticed due to treatment T₆ (GRDF + potassium humate foliar sprays @ 400 ppm) over the absolute control (T₁) and treatment T₂ (GRDF + water spray) as well as rest of the treatments, however treatment T₆ was found at par with treatment T₇ (GRDF + potassium humate foliar sprays @ 500 ppm).

3.3.2 Number of branches

Regarding number of branches, similar trend was noticed at 50% flowering and at maturity. Treatment T₆ recorded a significantly higher number of branches (15.33) at maturity as compared to treatment T₁ and T₂ having the values 8.00 and 9.33, respectively, however, the treatment T₆ found at par with treatment T₇ (Table 2). The trend of decrease in plant height and number of branches with increasing concentration of potassium humate above 400 ppm might be because of high molecular weight with aromatic structure hindered the absorption and its accumulation on leaf surface leads to toxic effect. The positive impact of foliar application of potassium humate might increase the metabolic activities resulted in more absorption of nutrients resulting in increasing plant height and number of branches upto the concentration level of potassium humate to 400 ppm. The results are in conformation with the results reported by [15] and [16].

3.3.3 Effect on total chlorophyll content of Cowpea

Significantly increased total chlorophyll content at flower initiation and pod formation stages over the absolute control (T₁) was noticed due to the - foliar application of different levels (100-400 ppm) of potassium humate along with GRDF (Table 2). The significantly highest total chlorophyll content was noticed due to the treatment T₆ at flower initiation stage (1.35 mg g⁻¹) and at pod formation stage (1.38 mg g⁻¹) over the absolute control and rest of the treatments

except, treatments T₇ which was found at par but the values of total chlorophyll content was found to be decreased, might be due to antagonistic effect of higher concentration (> 400 ppm) of potassium humate. Similar results due to humic acid application in leguminous crops was also reported by [17 and 18].

3.4 Effect on Post Harvest Observations of Cowpea

With increasing levels of concentration of potassium humate upto 400 ppm along with GRDF (T₆), significant improvement in post harvest observations of cowpea viz. average number of seeds per pod (18.00), 100 seed weight (19.59 g) and root length (22.40 cm) were noticed (Table 3) as compared to rest of the treatments except T₇, this might be due to ill effect of higher concentration (> 400 ppm) of potassium humate. This might be associated with the presence of number of functional groups in humic substances, both in the cyclic and side chain of the molecules, equips it to exert a specific physiological action on biological tissues such as root growth. The beneficial effect on shoot and root growth at concentration of 1000-2000 ppm was reported by [19]. The results are in conformity with the results reported by [20,18,2,16].

3.5 Yield Responses of Cowpea

Application of different levels of potassium humate as foliar spray improved fresh and dry straw yield (g pot⁻¹) significantly over the absolute control (Table 4). The significantly higher seed yield (91.10 g pot⁻¹), fresh straw (379.53 g pot⁻¹) and dry straw yield (113.88 g pot⁻¹) were recorded due to the foliar application of potassium

humate @ 400 ppm with GRDF (T₆) as compared to the absolute control (T₁) and rest of the potassium humate concentrations. While, it was found at par with treatment T₇ showing numerically low seed yield (90.91 g pot⁻¹), fresh straw (379.03 g pot⁻¹) and dry straw yield (113.71 g pot⁻¹). The concentration of potassium humate ranging from 0 to 400 ppm reflected into increasing levels of the seed yield while, potassium humate concentration > 400 ppm inhibited the root and shoot growth. The presence of aromatic nature of potassium humate might contain substantial amounts of carboxylic, phenolic and alcoholic hydroxyls groups partially responsible for root initiation and

increased the rate of nutrient uptake resulted in increased yield [21]. These results were in conformity with the results reported by [22] and [23].

3.6 Effect on Protein Content of Cowpea

As the food, cow-pea plays an important role as source of protein. The different concentrations of potassium humate with GRDF significantly increased the protein content over the rest of the treatments and control. The significantly highest (21.42 %) protein content was noticed under treatment T₆ as compared to T₁ (18.56 %) but treatment T₆ found at par with treatment T₇ (21.23 %) with numerically lowered protein content at high concentration of potassium humate i.e. 500 ppm (Table 4). Similarly, the treatment T₂ supplied with GRDF + water spray found at par with treatment T₃ indicated lower concentration of potassium humate (100 ppm) showed negligible impact for increasing protein content. It was further noticed that the concentration of potassium humate > 400 ppm found to be detrimental for increasing the protein content in cowpea seed might be due to the higher concentration of aromatic nature of humic acid affected the physiological action on biological tissue. The concentration of potassium humate up to 400 ppm with aromatic nature of potassium salts of humic acid found to be beneficial in increasing the protein content in cowpea seed. Similar results also reported by [17] and [24].

4. CONCLUSION

The resulted concluded that potassium humate extracted from good quality FYM with aromatic nature of potassium salt of humic acid when applied at different levels as foliar sprays to cow pea under pot culture condition significantly increased the growth parameters, total chlorophyll content as well as post harvest observations, yield and protein content in cow pea when potassium humate applied @ 400 ppm (two times) along with GRDF over the rest of concentrations.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Shreelatha, Bhat SN, Balanagoudar SR, Kambvle AS, Rao S, Beladhadi RV.

2. Response of chickpea to application of humic acid along with vermicompost on uptake of nutrients, yield attributes and yield. *Int. J. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2020;9(1): 2306-2312.
3. Ali LKM, Elbordiny MM. Response of wheat plants to potassium Humate application. *J. Applied Sciences Research.* 2009;5:1202-1209.
4. Azarpour E, Danesh RK, Mohammadi S, Bozorgi HR, Moraditochae M. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer under foliar spraying of humic acid on yield and yield components of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *World Applied Sciences J.* 2011;13(6): 1445-1449.
5. Gad, El-Hak SH, Ahmed AM, Moustaffa YMM. Effect of foliar application with two antioxidants and humic acid on growth, yield and yield components of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). *J. Horticulture Sciences and Ornamental Plants.* 2012;4:318-328.
6. Ahmed I, Saquib RU, Qasim M, Saleem M. Humic acid and cultivar effects on growth, yield, vase life, and corm characteristics of gladiolus. *Chilean J. Agril. Research.* 2013; 73(4):339-344.
7. Motaghi S, Nejad TS. The effect of different levels of humic acid and potassium fertilizer on physiological indices of growth. *Int. J. Biosci.* 2014;5(2):99-105.
8. Meena MK, Dhanoji MM, Naik MC. Influence of humic acid foliar spray on physiological growth indices in Redgram (*Cajanus cajan*). *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci.* 2018;7(8):57-63.
9. Diwale SR, Gokhale NB, Khobragade NH, Wahanp MR, Joke MP, Dhoparkar RV. Effect of organic manures on yield and nutrient uptake by cowpea and changes in soil nutrient status. *The Pharma Innovation J.* 2020;9(9):564-567.
10. Stevenson FH. *Humus Chemistry: Genesis, Composition, Reactions.* 2nd Edn, John Wiley and Sons Inc. 1994;496. ISBN: 9780471594741.
11. Ahmed OH, Husni MHA, Anuar AR, Hanfi MM, Angela EDS. A modified way of producing humic acid from composted pineapple leaves. *J. Sustainable Agriculture.* 2005;25(1):129-139.
12. AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC;* 1983.
13. Panse VG, Sukhatme RV. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers,* ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.

13. Kolape SS, Tathe AS, Amrutsagar VM. Response of levels of fulvic acid as foliar sprays extracted from FYM on concentrations of nutrients and quality of green chilli. *Contemp. Res. in India*. 2019; 9(4):112-117.
14. Kolape SS, Tathe AS. Evaluation of quality indices of compost, FYM and their effect on yield and quality of sunflower and succeeding ground nut in Inceptisol. *Contemp. Res. in India*. 2020;10(1): 112-119.
15. El-Bassiony AM, Fawzy ZF, Abd El-Baky MMH, Mahmoud AR. Response of snap bean plants to mineral fertilizers and Humic acid application. *Research J. Agriculture and Biological Sciences*. 2010; 6(2):169-175.
16. El-Nwehy SS Sary DH, Afify RRM. Effect of potassium humate Foliar application on yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) grown on calcareous soil under irrigation water regime. 2020;20(1): 1495-1502.
17. Faiyad RM, Bador AG, El-Mahdy RE. Maximizing utilization of some organic fertilizers to produce the highest yield of cowpea. *Egypt J. Soil Sci*. 2019;59(1): 53- 66.
18. Habashy NR, Ragab AAM, Abd El-Rasoal SM. Effect of some Bioorganic amendents on a sequence cropping pattern of peanut-faba bean grown on a sandy soil. *Egypt J. of Appl. Sci*. 2005;20:752-763.
19. Lulakis MD, Petsas SI. Effect of humic substances from vine-canes Mature composts on tomato seedling growth. *Bioresource Tecnol*. 1995;54:179-182.
20. Manas D, Bandopadhyay PK, Chakravarty A, Pal S, Bhattacharya A. Effect of foliar application of humic acid, zinc and boron on biochemical changes related to productivity of pungent pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *African J. Plant Science*. 2014; 8(6):320.335.
21. Schintzer M. In *Soil Organic Matter* (Schnitzer M and Khan SU. Eds.) Elsevier Scientific Pub. Co. Amsterdam; 1978.
22. Rizk AH, Mashhour AMA. Effect of foliar application with Potassium Humate on growth and uptake of some nutrients by wheat and broad bean plants. *Egyptian J. Soil Science*. 2008;48:457-465.
23. Kiran SK, Prakash SS, Krishnamurthy R, Yognanda SB, Shivkumar KV. Yield of cowpea and uptake of nutrients and soil chemical status as influenced by STCR fertilizer dose with humic acid and multi-micronutrient mixture in Southern Dry Zone (Zone 6) of Karnataka. *Int. J. Chemical studies*. 2020;8(3):2945-2950.
24. Ashraf M, Saqib N, Sarfaraz TB. Biological effect of bio fertilizer Humic acid on mung bean (*Vignaradiata* L.) Wilczek. *Int. J. Biology and Biotechnology*, 2005;2: 737-739.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115825>