

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 20, Page 1221-1229, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.107291 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield and Quality of Summer Pearlmillet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] Varieties

## Rahul G. Dharaviya <sup>a++\*</sup>, L. J. Desai <sup>b#</sup> and Kishan N. Patel <sup>a++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, C.P. College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar – 385506, India. <sup>b</sup> Centre for Research on IFS, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar – 385506, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i203920

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107291

Original Research Article

Received: 30/07/2023 Accepted: 05/10/2023 Published: 10/10/2023

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment on "Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of summer pearlmillet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] varieties" was carried out during summer 2022 on loamy sand soil of Agronomy Instructional Farm, C. P. College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar. The experiment was laid out in RBD with factorial concept with four replication. The result revealed that significantly higher plant height, effective tillers/plant, girth, length and weight of earhead, grain weight/earhead, grain yield (3366 kg/ha), straw yield (5970 kg/ha) and protein yield were recorded with GHB 1129. It also gave the

<sup>++</sup> M.Sc Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Research Scientist;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: rahuldharaviya1@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 20, pp. 1221-1229, 2023

higher net return (₹53663/ha) and BCR (2.233). Significantly higher plant height, effective tillers/plant, girth, length and weight of earhead, grain weight/earhead, grain yield (3576 kg/ha), straw yield (6271 kg/ha), protein yield and net return (₹57639/ha) with an application of RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO4·7H<sub>2</sub>O. An application of RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O) recorded higher value of BCR (2.277).

Keywords: Varieties; integrated nutrient management; earhead; yield; quality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"Pearlmillet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] is an important millet crop and grown for both food and fodder purpose. Pearlmillet popularly known as bajra belongs to the family poaceae. It grows on poor sandy soils as wall its drought escaping character has made it a popular crop of drought prone areas. The average nutrient composition of the edible portion of the seed is 67% carbohydrates. 12.4% moisture. 11.6% protein. 3.5% fat, 1.5 to 3.0% fibre and 2.7% minerals" [1]. "India is the largest producer of pearlmillet having 7.41 million ha area with an annual grain production of 10.3 million tonnes and productivity of 1391 kg/ha" [2]. "In Gujarat, area of summer pearlmillet is 2.28 lakh hectares, production is 7.84 lakh tonnes and productivity are 2795 kg/ha" [3]. The major pearlmillet growing states in India are Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh and Karnataka, where, it is grown both in kharif and summer seasons. The major pearlmillet growing district of Gujarat is Banaskantha, Junagadh, Jamnagar, Rajkot, Mehsana, Kheda, Amreli and Kutch.

"In the present system of intensive agriculture, most of the farmers are using exhaustive high yielding varieties of the crops that has led to heavy withdrawal of nutrients from the soil during past few years and fertilizer consumption remained much below compared to removal. This gap between nutrient removal and supply cannot be bridged by fertilizers alone. It can only through be achieved integrated nutrient management (INM). Integrated nutrient management involving chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers and organic manures is the key to the sustained productivity as it reduces dependence on chemical fertilizers and not only improves fertilizer use efficiency, but also improves soil productivity by improving physical, chemical and biological properties of soil. Fe deficiency is one of the most frequently micronutrients encountered deficiencies in pearlmillet. Iron plays a role in the formation of plant chlorophyll. Iron containing plant haemoglobin are another promising target for

altering Fe content in plant-based foods. Plant haemoglobin is similar to the human haemoglobin, with Fe binding capacity and is most commonly found in nodulating legumes (nitrogen fixing plants)" [4]. Adoption of high yielding short duration varieties which plays important role in the maximization of pearlmillet production per unit area per unit time. Screening of varieties which are appropriate to that particular climatic condition can help in boosting the production of pearlmillet. Though various breeding efforts in pearlmillet have produced agronomical elite cultivars-both hybrids and varieties with high vielding potential, their adoption has been low in arid areas.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted during summer season 2022 at Agronomy Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, Chimanbhai Patel College Sardarkrushinagar of Agriculture, Dantiwada Agricultural University. Sardarkrushinagar, Gujarat. The experimental plot was loamy sand in texture, low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potassium status. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with factorial concept and replicated four times. There were twelve treatments comprising two varieties (V1: GHB 1129, V<sub>2</sub>: GHB 1231) and six levels of nutrient management [F1: RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P2O5-K<sub>2</sub>O), F<sub>2</sub>: RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, F<sub>3</sub>: 75% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O + Azotobacter, F<sub>4</sub>: 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO4 7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM Azotobacter, F<sub>5</sub>: 75% RDF + 0.5% + FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O foliar spray at 30 and 45 DAS, F<sub>6</sub>: 50% RDF + 0.5% FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O foliar spray at 30 and 45 DAS].Fertilizer application was done as per respective treatments. The require quantity of FYM as per treatment were applied at 15 days before sowing. The recommended dose of fertilizers was applied as per treatment. Full dose of phosphorus and 50% nitrogen ware applied as basal dose in form of SSP and urea respectively, while remain 50% nitrogen was applied in one split at 30 DAS in form of urea. Soil application of Fe was applied as basal and foliar spray of FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O as per treatment.Before sowing, seeds were treated with Azotobacter @ 10 ml/kg seed as per treatment. Crop was sown at 45 cm spacing by using uniform seed rate of 3.75 kg/ha.Gap filling was carried out at 15 DAS keeping 10 cm distance between two plants to maintain equal plant population in all the plots.During the growing season of the crop one hand weeding carried out manually and one interculturing by bullock pair to keep the experiment field weed free and pulverizing the soil for better aeration. The biometric observations were recorded from five randomly selected tagged plants within each net plot for all parameters viz., plant height (cm), effective tillers/plant, girthof earhead (cm), lengthof earhead (cm), weight of earhead (g), grain weight/earhead (g), test weight (g), grain vield (kg/ha), straw yield (kg/ha), protein content (%) in grain was determined by Near Infrared Analyzer [5], gross return, net return and BCR. The data recorded for various parameters during the course of investigation were statistically analysed by a producer appropriate to the design of experiment as described by Panse and Sukhatme [6]. The significance of difference was tested by "F" test at 5 per cent level.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## **3.1 Growth Parameters**

#### 3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

The results (Table 1) indicated that GHB 1129 variety recorded significantly highest plant height at harvest (196.7 cm). The difference in plant height might be due to genetically make up of plant itself, which is governed by vegetative growth of crop as it played vital role in accelerating all the physiological processes in plants. These findings are in accordance with Chaudhari et al. [7], Sutaliya [8] and Ghuraiya et al. [9].Significantly higher plant height (208.6 cm) at harvest recorded with treatment F<sub>2</sub> in which RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O applied. However, it was stastically at par with treatment  $F_1$  and  $F_4$ in which RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O) and 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM + Azotobacter, respectively. This might be due to encouraged the formation of new cell, cell division, cell elongation and root development. The vigorous growth of root system ultimately helped in better absorption and utilization of nutrients from soil solution which reflected in overall plant growth and ultimately higher plant

height. These findings corroborate with the Sahoo et al. [10] and Kadam et al. [11].

#### **3.2 Yield Attributes**

#### 3.2.1 Effective tillers/plant

Among two different varieties, significantly highest number of effective tillers/plant (2.69) was recorded with GHB 1129 variety. The fluctuating outcomes of pearlmillet hybrids could be attributed to the genetic makeup of these varieties or their introduction into unfamiliar climatic zones. Varying responses of pearlmillet hybrids have also been reported by Swapanilet al, [12] and Chaudhari et al. [7]. It is inferred from the data furnished in Table 1 that the number of effective tillers/plant was significantly influenced due to nutrient management. Significantly higher number of effective tillers/plant (2.88) was observed with treatment F<sub>2</sub> in which application of RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O was applied, it was remained at par with treatment  $F_1$  and  $F_4$ [RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P2O5-K2O) and 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO4·7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM + Azotobacter were applied, respectively]. This might be due to the fact that application of fertilizer makes more availability of nutrients. which provide higher availability of nutrient to the plant, while FYM improves the soil-physical properties, hydraulic conductivity of the soil and also the availability of N-P2O5-K2O, which is promoted plant growth and development and increasing resulting in number of effective tillers/plant of pearlmillet. This also reported by Thumar et al. [13] and Patel et al. [14].

#### 3.2.2 Girth and length of earhead (cm)

It is apparent from data in Table 1 showed that girth and length of earhead in pearlmillet at harvesting under different varieties was found significant. GHB 1129 variety recorded significantly highest girth (10.16 cm) and length (23.04 cm) of earhead. These results might be due to genetic constitution of these varieties or due to introduction of these hybrids into new climatic zone. This result also supported by Yadav et al. [15], Swapanil et al. [12] and Chaudhari et al. [7]. The data outlined in Table 1 clearly indicated that the girthand length of earhead was significantly affected due to different nutrient management. Results showed that treatment  $F_{2}$ , application of RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O recorded significantly higher girth(10.53 cm) and length (24.08 cm) of earhead which was statistically at par with treatment  $F_1$ and  $F_4$  [RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O) and 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM + *Azotobacter*,respectively].This could be due to higher availability of nutrient to the crop roots which eventually lead to higher shooting of tillers to the base of plant in the form of higher girth and length of earheads. These outcomes are in conformity with the results of Patel et al. [14], Thumar et al. [13], Togas et al. [16] and Samruthi et al. [17], Vaja et al. [18].

## 3.2.3 Weight of earhead and grain weight/earhead (g)

A perusal of data narrated in Table 1 showed that weight of earhead and grain weight/earhead of pearlmillet was significantly influenced by various varieties. Between two varieties, GHB 1129 produced significantly highest weight of grain earhead (32.08 g)and Significantly better weight/earhead(14.78 g). development of source in form of dry matter accumulation, might have contributed to the more weight per earhead. These results are also supported by Chaudhary et al. [7], Divya et al. [19] Srivastvet al. [20] and Ghuraiyaet al. [9]. The statistical analysis of earhead weight and grain weight/earheadrevealed that different nutrient management had significant effect on weight of earhead and grain weight/earhead. Results showed that treatment F2 (RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O) produced significantly higher earhead(33.48 and grain of weight g) weight/earhead(15.47 g), which found statistically at par with treatment  $F_1$  and  $F_4$ [RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P2O5-K2O)and 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM + Azotobacter were applied, respectively]. This might be due to adequately fertilized crop benefited from higher rates of nutrition might have resulted into a more vigorous and extensive root system of crop increased vegetative leading to growth responsible for formation of efficient sink and greater sink size, led to more carbohydrate translocation from vegetative plant parts to the reproductive part ultimately produced heavier, longer and thicker earhead. These results are also supported by Divya et al. [19] and Bhargavi et al. [21].

#### 3.2.4 Test weight (g)

The critical examination of data presented in Table 1 clearly indicated that test weight was not significantly influenced due to different varieties.Numerically maximum test weight (9.23

a) was recorded with GHB 1231. These results are also supported by Swapanil et. al. [12], Yadav et. al. [15], Sutaliya [8] and Ghuraiya et. al. [9]. The data clearly inferred that the test weight (g) was not significantly affected by different nutrient management. An application RDF 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O of + produced maximum test weight of 9.34 g. These results are also supported by Sahoo et. al. [10], Vaja et. al. [18] and Maharana and Singh [22].

## 3.2.5 Grain yield (kg/ha) and straw yield (kg/ha)

The results showed in Table 2 indicated that different varieties exert significant effect on grain yield and straw. Among two different varieties, significantly highest grain yield (3366 kg/ha) and straw yield (5970 kg/ha) was recorded with GHB 1129. The percent increase in grain yield and straw yield under  $V_1$  to the tune of 7.01 % and 5.66 % respectively over F<sub>1</sub>.The grain yield is sum of all growth contributing factors by both agronomical and genetic manipulation. Higher grain in GHB 1129 seems on account of overall improvement in growth as well as yield attributes. This might be due to the increased vegetative growth in terms of plant height and number of tillers/plants which resulted in higher straw yield produced by the crop. This result also submitted by Chaudhary et al.[7], Sahoo et al. [10], Srivastav et al. [20] and Malakar et al. [23].A critical analysis of data revealed that treatment F2 in whichapplication of RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>Orecorded significantly higher grain yield (3576 kg/ha) and straw yield (6271 kg/ha), it was at par with treatment F1 and F4 in which RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P2O5-K2O)and 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM + Azotobacter, respectively applied.The was percent increase in grain yield and straw yield under  $F_2$  to the tune of 7.27 % and 4.00 % respectively over F<sub>1</sub>. This increase in yield might be due to effective utilization of applied nutrients. Iron plays a major role in biosynthesis of IAA and especially due to its role in initiation of primordial reproductive and portioning part of photosynthetic towards them which promotes the yield. The increased supply of fertilizers and their higher uptake by plants might have stimulated the rate of various physiological processes in crop. The results of present study with the combined application of fertilizers are in line with those of Vaja et al. [18], Maharana and Singh [22], Waikaret al. [24], Malakar et al. [23].

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Effective tillers/plant	Girth of earhead (cm)	Length of earhead (cm)	Weight of earhead (g)	Grain weight/earhead (g)	Test weight (g)
Variety (V)							
V <sub>1</sub> : GHB 1129	196.7	2.69	10.16	23.04	32.08	14.78	9.11
V <sub>2</sub> : GHB 1231	185.7	2.49	9.37	21.41	29.81	13.67	9.23
S.Em.±	3.82	0.06	0.21	0.46	0.67	0.33	0.11
C.D. (P=0.05)	10.98	0.18	0.60	1.33	1.94	0.95	NS
Nutrient management (F)							
F <sub>1</sub> : RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O)	198.0	2.63	10.12	22.84	30.89	14.78	9.31
F <sub>2</sub> : RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O	208.6	2.88	10.53	24.08	33.48	15.47	9.34
F <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O +	186.8	2.55	9.48	21.65	30.09	13.70	9.25
Azotobacter							
F <sub>4</sub> : 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O +	191.5	2.72	10.34	23.39	33.02	15.07	9.28
5 t/ha FYM + Azotobacter	400.0	0.44	0.07	04.07	00.44	40 70	
$F_5$ : 75% RDF + 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O foliar spray at 30 and 45 DAS	182.6	2.41	9.37	21.37	29.11	13.76	8.96
F <sub>6</sub> : 50% RDF + 0.5% FeSO₄·7H₂O foliar	179.7	2.36	8.76	20.02	29.08	12.59	8.88
spray at 30 and 45 DAS							
S.Em.±	6.61	0.11	0.36	0.80	1.17	0.57	0.20
C.D. (P=0.05)	19.02	0.31	1.04	2.31	3.36	1.65	NS
Interaction (V × F)							
S.Em.±	9.35	0.15	0.51	1.13	1.65	0.81	0.28
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	9.78	11.65	10.42	10.20	10.67	11.41	6.12

## Table 1. Effect of varieties and nutrient management on growth and yield attributes of pearlmillet

Treatment	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Straw yield (kg/ha)	Protein content	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Net returns (₹/ha)	B: C ratio
Variety (V)					<b>X</b> - <b>X</b>	
V <sub>1</sub> : GHB 1129	3366	5970	9.33	43503	53663	2.233
V <sub>2</sub> : GHB 1231	3130	5632	9.29	43503	47260	2.088
S.Em.±	80.08	116.79	0.11	-	-	-
C.D. (P=0.05)	230	336	NS	-	-	-
Nutrient management (F)						
F <sub>1</sub> : RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> -K <sub>2</sub> O)	3316	6020	9.48	42338	54070	2.277
F <sub>2</sub> : RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O	3576	6271	9.71	45236	57639	2.274
F <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O +	3126	5574	9.34	43406	46994	2.083
Azotobacter						
F <sub>4</sub> : 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O +	3513	6129	9.23	48417	52476	2.084
5 t/ha FYM + Azotobacter						
F <sub>5</sub> : 75% RDF + 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O foliar	3034	5436	9.15	41721	46139	2.106
spray at 30 and 45 DAS						
F <sub>6</sub> : 50% RDF + 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O foliar	2923	5378	8.94	39900	45452	2.139
spray at 30 and 45 DAS						
S.Em.±	138.70	202.29	0.20	-	-	-
C.D. (P=0.05)	399	582	NS	-	-	-
Interaction (V × F)						
S.Em.±	196.16	286.08	0.28	-	-	-
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	-	-	-
C.V. %	12.08	9.86	5.95	-	-	-

## Table 2. Effect of varieties and nutrient management on yield, quality and economics of pearlmillet



Dharaviya et al.; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 20, pp. 1221-1229, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.107291

Fig. 1. Effect of varieties and nutrient management on grain and straw yield (kg/ha) of summer pearlmillet



## **3.3 Quality Parameters**

#### 3.3.1 Protein content (%)

Protein content in grain (%) was not significantly influenced due to different varieties. However, GHB 1129 estimated marginally the highest protein content (9.33%). This result also submitted by Patel et. al. [14]. It is inferred from the data furnished in Table 2 that the protein content in grain (%) was not significantly influenced due to nutrient management. Numerically maximum protein content in grain (9.71%) was observed with application of RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O. The results of present study with the combined application of fertilizers are in line with those of Togas et al. [16].

## 3.4 Economics

Data presented in Table 2, it could be seen that the maximum net realizations and benefit: cost ratio of ₹53663/ha and 2.233, respectively obtained with GHB 1129. The minimum net realizations and benefit: cost ratio was noted under variety GHB 1231. The increase in profitability is mainly due to increase in grain as well as straw yield with GHB 1129 as discussed earlier. Result revealed that the maximum net realizations ₹57639/ha recoded with treatment F<sub>2</sub> (RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O), while maximum benefit: cost ratio of 2.277 recorded with F1 [RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O)]. Remarkable effect of fertilizer application on grain and straw vield of pearlmillet was recorded which might be increased net profit with application of RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O.

## 4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of the results obtained from the present investigation, it can be concluded that pearlmillet variety GHB 1129 should be fertilized with RDF (120-60-00 kg/ha N-P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>O) or RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O or 50% RDF + 30 kg/ha FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O + 5 t/ha FYM + *Azotobacter* for getting higher yield and net return.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Sharma H, Burark SS. Bajra price forecasting in chomu market of Jaipur district: Anapplication of SARIMA model. Agricultural situation in India. 2015;71(11): 7-12.

- Anonymous. Directorate of Millet Development, 2020-21 Project Coordinator Review 2021. Available:http://www.aicpmip.res.in/aboutu
- s.html
  Anonymous. District wise area, production, yield of major crops of Gujarat State. Published by Directorate of Agriculture, Government of Gujarat, Gandhinagar; 2021. Available:https://dag.gujarat.gov.in/Statistic

s.htm

- 4. Kundu S, Trent JT, Hargrove MS. Plants, humans and hemoglobins. *Trends in Plant Sciences*. 2003;8: 387-393.
- 5. Konstantinos G. Kyprianidis and Jan Skvaril. Developments in Near-Infrared Spectroscopy; 2017. Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62932
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical method for agricultural research workers. ICAR Publication, New Delhi; 1985.
- Chaudhari RP, Patel PM, Patel BM, Upesh Kumar, Darj SS, Patel SJ. Performance of Summer Pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) Hybrids under North Gujarat Conditions. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(1): 637-644.
- 8. Sutaliya R. Performances of Pearl Millet Hybrids under Arid Zone of western Rajasthan. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020; 9(10): 2044-2047.
- Ghuraiya S. Singh R, Singh E. Effect of different varieties and row spacing on growth and yield of pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2021;13(3): 635-638.
- 10. Sahoo I, Pindi S, Hussain SA Sharma SH. Influence of integrated nutrient management practices on yield and economics of foxtail millet varieties. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(4): 3426-3429.
- 11. Kadam SB, Pawar SB, Jakkawad SR. Impact of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield of summer pearlmillet. Trends in Biosciences. 2019;12(4).
- 12. Swapanil D, Patel JG, Jat RA. Response of hybrids to land configuration and date of sowing in south Gujarat. Indian Journal of Fertilizer. 2014;10(7): 24-28.
- 13. Thumar CM, Dudhat MS, Chaudhary N N, Hadiya NJ, Ahir NB. Growth, Yield

Attributes, Yield and Economics of Summer Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) as Influenced by Integrated Nutrient Management. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016;8(59):3344-3346.

- Patel PR, Patel BJ, Vyas KG, Yadav BL Effect of integrated nitrogen management and biofertilizer in *kharif* pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement. 2014;5(2): 122-12.
- 15. Yadav AK, Kumar A, Singh J, Jat RK, Jat HS, Datta A, Singh K, Choudhary R. Performance of pearlmillet genotypes under irrigated and rainfed conditions at Hisar. India Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2014;6(2): 377-38.
- Togas R, Yadav LR, Chaudhary SL, Shisuvinahalli GV. Integrated nutrient management in pearl millet. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(8): 2510-2516.
- Samruthi M, Kumar R, Rajendra PM, Kumar YS. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield and Yield Attributes of Pearl Millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. Emend stuntz]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(10):2733- 2737.
- Vaja RP, Bhuva HM, Mokariya LK, Jani CP. Effect of Zinc and Iron Fortification on Growth and Yield of Summer Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. Emend. Stuntz). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020; 9(10): 2699-2704.

- Divya G, Vani KP, Surendra Babu P, Suneetha Devi KB. Yield Attributes and Yield of Summer Pearl Millet as Influenced by Cultivars and Integrated Nutrient Management. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(10):1491-1495.
- Srivastva P. Rao BB, Prakash T, Syed AH. Effect of agronomics biofortification of pearlmillet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. Emend stuntz] cultivars with iron on growth parameter and quality. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(4) 2506-2509.
- Bhargavi T, Mosha K, Luther MM, Subbaiah PV, Swetha N. Productivity and quality enhancement of pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) through integrated use of organic and inorganic source of nitrogen. Bilogical foram- An international journal. 2021;13(4): 444-448.
- 22. Maharana S. Singh S. Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)]. The pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(10): 546-550.
- Malakar P, Gupta M, Gupta V, Thakur NP, Mali NL, Anju K. Effect of cultivars and fertility levels on yield and economics of pearl millet under rainfed condition of Jammu region. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(4):1054-1059.
- 24. Waikar SL, Todmal SM, Shete VS. Response of iron and zinc on yield, quality and soil nutrient dynamics of pearlmillet on vertisol. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2021;10(04):307-315.

© 2023 Dharaviya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/107291