International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 4, Page 815-826, 2024; Article no.IJECC.115318
ISSN: 2581-8627
(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Structure and Floristic Composition of Existing Agroforestry Systems in Allahabad District of Uttar Pradesh, India

Rajiv Umrao [a++*], B. Mehera [b#] and Hemant Kumar [b†]

[a] College of Forestry, BUAT, Banda, Uttar Pradesh, India.
[b] College of Forestry, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2024/v14i44160

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115318

Original Research Article

Received: 02/02/2024
Accepted: 06/04/2024
Published: 06/05/2024

ABSTRACT

The study on the Structure and Floristic Composition of Agroforestry Systems was conducted in the agricultural fields of Kashari village (site-I) and Korihar village (site-II) in Allahabad District, Uttar Pradesh, India, from 2008 to 2010. Quantitative characteristics of the vegetation were assessed using the quadrat method. Floristic diversity was examined through random sampling, with 20 quadrats of 10m x 10m each. Parameters such as density, frequency, abundance, Importance Value Index (IVI), species diversity, dominance concentration, species richness, equitability, and beta diversity were analyzed through basic arithmetic calculations for comparing different agroforestry systems. In each site, 20 quadrats of 10m x 10m were established to observe trees and shrubs. The vegetative structure of trees and shrubs in each site was evaluated for frequency,

[++] *Associate Professor;*
[#] *Professor& Dean;*
[†] *Assistant Professor;*
**Corresponding author: E-mail: umraoforester@rediffmail.com;*

density, and abundance using expressions formulated by Curtis and McIntosh in 1950. The dominant tree species observed in both study sites was Acacia nilotica. Kashari site exhibited superior values in terms of Concentration of Dominance (Simpson Index), Equitability, Beta diversity, and Species diversity. However, Korihar site showed higher species richness.

Keywords: Floristic; agroforestry; herbaceous; geographical location.

1. INTRODUCTION

India boasts a rich history of agroforestry (AF) systems, with numerous indigenous practices evolving over time to cater to local needs and site-specific conditions. These systems encompass various forms, including trees integrated into farmlands, community forestry initiatives, and diverse local forest management and ethno forestry practices [1]. Throughout India, the tradition of cultivating scattered trees on agricultural plots has persisted for centuries, largely unchanged. These trees serve multiple purposes, providing shade, fodder, fuelwood, fruits, vegetables, and medicinal resources [2,3,4,5,6].

Understanding the structure, composition, and phyto diversity of existing sites requires a close examination of their land use patterns [7,8,9]. Farmers have increasingly embraced the practice of integrating trees into agricultural landscapes, driven by both economic incentives and social advantages [10-14]. However, there is a growing recognition of the need for integrated approaches, drawing upon expertise from agricultural and forestry domains, to optimize crop suitability and location-based considerations (Ranjan et al., 2016) [15].

Given the challenges posed by climate change and environmental shifts, there is a pressing need for innovative land-use options that enhance livelihood security and resilience (Pathak et al., 2016) [16]. Such approaches should be tailored to local contexts while promoting sustainability and adaptability in the face of evolving environmental conditions [17-21].

The community structure, composition, and vegetative function stand out as pivotal ecological attributes of forests, showcasing fluctuations in response to both environmental factors and human activities [1] (Timilsina et al., 2007). Species diversity, a cornerstone of natural communities, plays a significant role in shaping ecosystems [22]. The variations observed in vegetation structure, richness, diversity, and distribution are closely tied to factors such as geographical location and agricultural practices (Criddle et al., 2003).

In Central and Eastern Uttar Pradesh, agroforestry systems have firmly taken root. Multiple cropping, a form of natural resource management integrating trees, pasture, and cropland, aims to maximize social, economic, and environmental benefits [23,24-27,28-30]. This traditional practice involves planting and harvesting various products, including wood, fruits, roots, leaves, fuel, and fodder alongside agricultural crops. However, contemporary agroforestry techniques often appear underdeveloped and exploitative, with inadequate protection and management of trees by farmers. Consequently, there exists significant potential for enhancing traditional agroforestry systems to fully unleash their production capacity [31-36].

Moreover, greater agrobiodiversity not only fosters long-term stability in carbon storage amid fluctuating environments but also enhances biomass production potential (Henry et al., 2009) [37]. The primary objective of this study is to elucidate the structural attributes of density, frequency, diversity, equitability, and species richness within existing agroforestry systems in Fatehpur and Allahabad districts of Uttar Pradesh. Such investigations aid in identifying the dominant communities of timber trees, horticultural trees, and shrub species, thereby pinpointing areas conducive to the protection and promotion of these plants.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research endeavor was conducted within the eastern region of Uttar Pradesh, specifically in the vicinity of Allahabad. Two distinct village sites, Kashari (site-I) and Korihar (site-II), were carefully chosen within Allahabad district for the study. Situated on the eastern bank of the Ganga river, these sites lie approximately 20 kilometers and 35 kilometers away from the bustling city of Allahabad, precisely positioned at 81°50' E longitude and 25°27' N latitude.

Map. 1. Map of the study areas

Floristic Diversity: The investigation into floristic diversity employed a random sampling methodology, wherein 20 quadrats of 10m x 10m size and 5m x 5m size were systematically laid out within each site for the examination of trees and shrubs, respectively. Various parameters including density, frequency, abundance, Importance Value Index (IVI), species diversity, concentration of dominance, species richness, equitability, and beta diversity were meticulously scrutinized through straightforward arithmetic calculations to facilitate the comparison of different agroforestry systems. Quantitative assessments of vegetation were conducted utilizing the quadrat method. The vegetative structure of trees and shrubs across the two sites was assessed for frequency, density, and abundance, applying established expressions formulated by Curtis and McIntosh in 1950. The floristic diversity study entailed the deployment of random sampling techniques, with 20 quadrats measuring 10m x 10m laid out in each site for the observation of trees and shrubs. Herbaceous species were not included in the floristic diversity assessment. Basal area calculations were performed to gauge the dominance and distribution of trees and shrubs. For trees, basal area was computed as the cross-sectional area of the stem at Diameter Breast Height (DBH) of 1.37 meters. Similarly, for shrubs, basal area was determined as the cross-sectional area of the main stem measured 15 centimeters above ground level, utilizing the formula: Basal Area = π (d^2/4), where 'd' represents the diameter of the tree or shrub.

Frequency = Number of sampling units in which species occurred / Total number of sampling unit studies x 100
Density **=** Total number of individual of species / Total number of quadrat studied

Abundance = Total number of individual of the species in all sampling units / Number of sampling unit in which the species occurred

Basal area per tree = Total basal area of trees / Number of trees

Relative Basal Area: The relative density, relative frequency, relative basal areas were calculated using following formula.
Relative density = Density of individual of species / Total density of all species x 100

Relative frequency = Frequency of the individual species / Total frequency of all the species x 100

Relative basal area = Basal area of the individual of species / Total basal area of all the species x 100

Importance Value Index: The importance value index (IVI), which is an integrated measure of the relative frequency, relative density and relative basal area/dominance, was calculated for each tree species given by Curtis, 1959.

Importance Value Index (IVI) = RD+RF+RBA

The number of trees falling in the sample unit was counted and classified as per their diameter and height characteristics. The Species diversity (Shannon index), concentration of dominance (Simpson index) and other useful parameters for comparison of different types of existing agroforestry systems were calculated [38] (Simpson, 1949).
Tree diversity analysis: Tree diversity in all four sites of agroforestry systems (trees and shrubs) were calculated by the following diversity indices. [7]

(a) **Species Diversity Index.** It was calculated by the formula given by Margalef, [39].

$H = -\sum [(ni/N) \log (ni/N)]$

Where ni was the total number of individuals of species N was the total number of individuals of all the species on that site.

(b) **Concentration of dominance** was measured by the formula of Simpson Index developed by Simpson, 1949.

$$Cd = -\sum\left[\left(\frac{n_1}{N}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_2}{N}\right)^2 + - - - \left(\frac{n_n}{N}\right)^2\right]$$

Where N was the total number of individuals of species ni was the total number of individuals of all the species on that site.

(c) **Equitability(e)** was calculated as suggested by Pielou [40] as

$e = H/ \ln s$

Where H is the Shannon – Wiener Index and s = total number of species

(d) **Species richness** was calculated by the following equation of Margalef [39]

$d = s- 1/\ln N$

Where s = number of species, and N =number of individuals of all species

(e) **Beta diversity** was calculated as outlined by Whittaker, 1977

$bd = Sc / s$

Where Sc = total number of species in all sites and average species per site.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Floristic-diversity analysis and distribution patterns of tree species: The distribution patterns and species composition of existing agroforestry systems viz. agrisilvicultural and agrihorticultural system commonly practiced in Allahabad was studied [7].

Floristic diversity at Site –I (Kashari) of Allahabad district: The results of florisitic-diversityat site-I are presented in Table 1 and graphically illustrated in Fig. 1. It is evident from the data that among tree species available in site –I timber and fuelwood, horticultural and shrubs species were 18, 8 and 4, respectively. The dominant and co-dominant species were *Acacia nilotica* and *Azadirachta indica* showed IVI values of 24.54 and 24.09, respectively. The highest (70 trees ha^{-1}) value of density was recorded for *Acacia nilotica* in timber and fuel wood tree species followed by *Azadirachtaindica* (65 trees ha^{-1}). The highest tree density was recorded for *Emblica officinalis* (70 trees ha^{-1}) among horticultural tree species followed by *Mangifera indica* (35 trees/ha). Total basal cover for tree was recorded higher for *Ficusreligiosa (*5.144 m^2/ha) followed by *Madhucalatifolia (*5.1094 m^2/ha). Among horticulture and shrubs species, the dominant and co-dominant species were *Emblica officinalis* and *Mangifera indica* with IVI values of 18.89 and 16.207, respectively.

Floristic- diversity analysis at site –II (Korihar) of Allahabad district: The findings pertaining to the floristic diversity at Site-I are elucidated in Table 1 and visually depicted in Fig. 1. Analysis of the data reveals that among the tree species observed at Site-I, there were 18 species classified as timber and fuelwood, 8 categorized as horticultural, and 4 designated as shrubs. Notably, the dominant and co-dominant

Table 1. Floristic- diversity of site-I (Kashari) of Allahabad district

Timber and fuelwood trees									
Name of the species	**Density ($100m^2$)**	**Frequency**	**Abundance**	**BA (cm^2)/ $100m^2$**	**Relative Density**	**Relative Frequency**	**Relative Basal Area(RBA)**	**IVI**	**B.A. M^2/ha.**
Acacia nilotica L.Willd.ex del.	0.70	55	1.27	393.56	8.04598	8.02920	8.46728	24.54246	3.9356
Aegle marmelos(L.)Corr.	0.25	20	1.25	151.81	2.87356	2.91971	3.26609	9.05936	1.5181
*Artocarpusheterophylus*L.	0.25	15	1.67	146.61	2.87356	2.18978	3.15428	8.21762	1.4661
Azadirachta indica L.	0.65	60	1.08	365.45	7.47126	8.75912	7.86248	24.09287	3.6545
Dalbergia sissoo Roxb.	0.20	15	1.33	138.80	2.29885	2.18978	2.98625	7.47488	1.388
Eucalyptus teretiocornis Sm.	0.30	25	1.20	143.23	3.44828	3.64964	3.08144	10.17935	1.4323
Ficus benghalensis L.	0.25	20	1.25	373.92	2.87356	2.91971	8.04468	13.83795	3.7392
Ficus glomerata L.	0.30	25	1.20	179.69	3.44828	3.64964	3.86592	10.96383	1.7969
Ficus religiosa L.	0.35	20	1.75	514.40	4.02299	2.91971	11.06723	18.00992	5.144
Limonia acidessima L.	0.25	25	1.00	162.47	2.87356	3.64964	3.49556	10.01875	1.6247
*Madhuca latifolia*Macb.	0.40	30	1.33	510.94	4.59770	4.37956	10.99258	19.96984	5.1094
Pongamia pinnata L.	0.20	10	2.00	187.04	2.29885	1.45985	4.02408	7.78279	1.8704
Populus deltoids Bartrx.ex.Marsh	0.20	15	1.33	87.226	2.29885	2.18978	1.87663	6.36526	0.8723
Prosopis juliflora (sw) DC.	0.25	15	1.67	34.851	2.87356	2.18978	0.74980	5.81315	0.3485
Syzygium cumini(L.)Skeel	0.20	10	2.00	187.26	2.29885	1.45985	4.02875	7.78745	1.8726
Tamarindus indica L.	0.20	15	1.33	224.53	2.29885	2.18978	4.83072	9.31935	2.2453
*Tectona grandis*Linn.f.	0.60	50	1.20	194.27	6.89655	7.29927	4.17967	18.37549	1.9427
*Zizyphus maritiana*Lam	0.25	25	1.00	129.84	2.87356	3.64964	2.79346	9.31666	1.2984
Horticultural trees									
Carica papaya L.	0.20	10	2.00	12.031	2.29885	1.45985	0.25885	4.01755	0.1203
*Carissa corandus*L.	0.30	25	1.20	9.9589	3.44828	3.64964	0.21426	7.31217	0.0996
*Citrus lemon(*L)Burm.f	0.30	30	1.00	10.424	3.44828	4.37956	0.22427	8.05210	0.1042
Cordia myxaRoxb.	0.15	10	1.50	3.5652	1.72414	1.45985	0.07670	3.26070	0.0357
Emblica officinalis Gaertn	0.70	60	1.17	96.851	8.04598	8.75912	2.08372	18.88882	0.9685
Mangifera indica L.	0.35	30	1.17	362.76	4.02299	4.37956	7.80474	16.20729	3.6276
Musa paradesiaca L.	0.15	10	1.50	2.3339	1.72414	1.45985	0.05021	3.23420	0.0233
Psidium guajava L.	0.15	10	1.50	21.959	1.72414	1.45985	0.47245	3.65644	0.2196

Timber and fuelwood trees									
Name of the species	**Density ($100m^2$)**	**Frequency**	**Abundance**	**BA (cm^2)/ $100m^2$**	**Relative Density**	**Relative Frequency**	**Relative Basal Area(RBA)**	**IVI**	**B.A. M^2/ha.**
Shrubs species									
Bougainvilla glabra L.	0.20	15	1.33	0.8458	2.29885	2.18978	0.01820	4.50683	0.0085
Jatrpha curcas L.	0.15	10	1.50	0.6453	1.72414	1.45985	0.01388	3.19788	0.0065
Ricinus communis L.	0.15	15	1.00	0.7074	1.72414	2.18978	0.01522	3.92914	0.0071
Ziziphus zizyphus L.	0.10	10	1.00	0.0332	1.14943	1.45985	0.00071	2.60999	0.0003
Total	**8.70**	**685**	**40.74**	**4648**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**300.0**	**46.48**

Table 2. Floristic -diversity of site-II (Korihar) of Allahabad district

Name of the species	**Density ($100m^2$)**	**Frequency**	**Abundance**	**BA (cm^2)/ $100m^2$**	**Relative Density**	**Relative Frequency**	**Relative Basal Area(RBA)**	**IVI**	**B.A. M^2/ha.**
Timber and fuelwood trees									
*Acacia nilotica*L.Willd.ex del.	0.60	50	1.20	281.36	7.69231	8.19672	7.19095	23.0799	2.8136
Aegle marmelos(L.)Corr.	0.25	20	1.25	137.94	3.20513	3.27869	3.52549	10.0093	1.3794
Albizia procera L.	0.15	10	1.50	62.999	1.92308	1.63934	1.61011	5.17253	0.63
Artocarpus heterophylus L.	0.25	20	1.25	127.23	3.20513	3.27869	3.25165	9.73547	1.2723
Azadirachta indica L.	0.50	45	1.11	363.06	6.41026	7.37705	9.27909	23.0663	3.6306
Dalbergia sissoo Roxb.	0.25	15	1.67	219.78	3.20513	2.45902	5.61721	11.2813	2.1978
Eucalyptus teretiocornis Sm.	0.25	20	1.25	123.95	3.20513	3.27869	3.16787	9.65169	1.2395
Ficus benghalensis L.	0.20	20	1.00	281.84	2.56410	3.27869	7.20319	13.0459	2.8184
Ficus glomerata L.	0.30	25	1.20	189.05	3.84615	4.09836	4.83165	12.7761	1.8905
Ficus religiosa L.	0.20	20	1.00	268.56	2.56410	3.27869	6.86371	12.7065	2.6856
Madhuca latifolia Macb.	0.40	30	1.33	453.11	5.12821	4.91803	11.58055	21.6267	4.5311
*Pithecellobium dulce (*Roxb.)Benth.	0.20	15	1.33	152.19	2.56410	2.45902	3.88953	8.91265	1.5219
Pongamia pinnata L.	0.30	25	1.20	129.73	3.84615	4.09836	3.31562	11.2601	1.2973
Populus deltoids Bartrx.ex.Marsh	0.20	10	2.00	41.854	2.56410	1.63934	1.06969	5.27314	0.4185
Prosopis juliflora (sw) DC.	0.30	15	2.00	41.821	3.84615	2.45902	1.06885	7.37402	0.4182
Syzygium cumini(L.)Skeel	0.20	10	2.00	143.61	2.56410	1.63934	3.67024	7.87369	1.4361
*Tectona grandis*Linn.f.	0.65	55	1.18	230.62	8.33333	9.01639	5.89412	23.2438	2.3062

Name of the species	Density ($100m^2$)	Frequency	Abundance	BA (cm^2)/ $100m^2$	Relative Density	Relative Frequency	Relative Basal Area(RBA)	IVI	B.A. M^2/ha.
Zizyphus maritiana Lam	0.35	25	1.40	102.33	4.48718	4.09836	2.61529	11.200	1.0233
Horticultural trees									
Carica papaya L.	0.20	10	2.00	12.031	2.56410	1.63934	0.30749	4.51094	0.1203
*Carissa corandus*L.	0.30	25	1.20	9.9589	3.84615	4.09836	0.25453	8.19904	0.0996
Emblica officinalis Gaertn	0.60	50	1.20	83.015	7.69231	8.19672	2.12169	18.0107	0.8302
Mangifera indica L.	0.30	20	1.50	427.76	3.84615	3.27869	10.93273	18.0575	4.2776
*Musa paradesiaca*L.	0.15	10	1.50	2.3339	1.92308	1.63934	0.05965	3.62207	0.0233
Psidium guajava L.	0.15	10	1.50	21.321	1.92308	1.63934	0.54491	4.10733	0.2132
Shrubs species									
Bougainvilla glabra L.	0.20	15	1.33	0.8458	2.56410	2.45902	0.02162	5.04474	0.0085
Jatrpha curcas L.	0.15	15	1.00	3.7623	1.92308	2.45902	0.09616	4.47825	0.0376
Ricinus communis L.	0.15	15	1.00	0.7074	1.92308	2.45902	0.01808	4.40017	0.0071
Ziziphus zizyphus L.	0.05	10	0.50	0.0166	0.64103	1.63934	0.00042	2.28079	0.0002
Total	**7.80**	**610**	**37.6**	**3912.8**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**300.0**	**39.128**

Table 3. Diversity Index of study sites-I and II of Allahabad districts

AF system	Species –Diversity Index (Shannon Index)		Simpson Index(Concent-ration of Dominance)		Species Richness		Equitability		Beta Diversity	
	Trees	Shrubs	Trees	Shrubs	Trees	Shrubs	Trees	Shrubs	Trees	Shrubs
AS/S_I	0.970	0.060	0.035	0.0002	0.146	0.250	0.053	0.020	3.944	4.667
AS/S_{II}	0.999	0.064	0.038	0.0002	0.153	0.272	0.055	0.021	3.944	4.667
AH/S_I	0.308	0.027	0.007	0.0002	0.152	0000	0.038	0.027	3.875	6.000
AH/S_{II}	0.262	0.028	0.008	0.0002	0.147	0000	0.043	0.028	5.167	6.000



Fig. 1. Floristic diversity of site-I (Kashari) of Allahabad district



Fig. 2. Floristic diversity of site-II (Korihar) of Allahabad District

species, *Acacia nilotica* and *Azadirachta indica*, exhibited noteworthy Importance Value Index (IVI) values of 24.54 and 24.09, respectively, underscoring their ecological significance.

In terms of density, Acacia nilotica stood out with the highest recorded value of 70 trees per hectare among timber and fuelwood species, closely followed by *Azadirachta indica* at 65 trees per hectare. Among horticultural species, *Emblica officinalis* boasted the highest tree density at 70 trees per hectare, followed by *Mangifera indica* at 35 trees per hectare. The cumulative basal cover for trees was found to be highest for *Ficus religiosa* at 5.144 square meters per hectare, followed closely by *Madhuca latifolia* at 5.1094 square meters per hectare. Within the categories of horticulture and shrubs, *Emblica officinalis* emerged as the dominant species, exhibiting an IVI value of 18.89, followed by *Mangifera indica* with an IVI value of 16.207, highlighting their prominence within the ecosystem.

Diversity index analysis: The diversity index analysis of vegetation from both sites in the district of Allahabad is detailed in Table 3. The index of dominance, represented by the Simpson index, exhibited higher values in the agrisilviculture system at Site-II (0.038), followed closely by Site-I (0.035). Species diversity (sd) peaked at Site-II (0.999), whereas in the agrihorticultural system, the highest species diversity was recorded at Site-I (0.330), with the lowest observed at Site-II (0.308).

Equitability (e) of trees showed maximal values (0.055) in the agrisilviculture system at Site-I, while the minimum was recorded at Site-II (0.038) in the agrihorticulture system. The highest species richness was found in the agrisilviculture system at Site-II (0.153), closely followed by Site-I (0.152) in the agrihorticulture system, whereas the lowest was observed in the agrisilviculture system at Site-I (0.146).

Beta diversity reached its peak in the agrihorticulture system at Site-II (5.167) and was lowest at Site-I (3.875) in the same system. These diversity index results are corroborated by the findings of Knight [41]. Notably, the modified Simpson's Index Value yielded almost similar observations as reported by Jose et al. [42]. Basha (1987) also reported a Simpson's index of diversity of 0.94 for evergreen forests of Silent Valley.

The Shannon-Wiener Index Value (H') indicated that the diversity closely resembled that of a tropical forest, registering at 5.45. Typically, H' values in tropical rainforests range from 5.06 in young stands to 5.4 in older stands [41].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The findings from the present study lead to the conclusion that Acacia nilotica emerged as the most dominant tree species across both study sites. However, when considering various diversity metrics, it becomes evident that each site possesses distinct strengths. In terms of the Concentration of Dominance, represented by the Simpson Index, as well as Equitability, Beta diversity, and Species diversity, the Kashari site demonstrated superiority. These metrics suggest a more balanced and diverse ecosystem at the Kashari site compared to the Korihar site. On the other hand, while Kashari excelled in several diversity indices, Korihar stood out for its higher species richness. This indicates a higher variety of species present at the Korihar site, although it may not possess the same level of balance and evenness as observed in Kashari. Overall, these conclusions highlight the importance of considering multiple aspects of biodiversity when assessing the ecological health and richness of an area. Each site may have its unique strengths and contributions to overall biodiversity, emphasizing the need for comprehensive conservation and management strategies tailored to specific ecological contexts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Gairola S, Rawal RS, Todaria NP. Forest vegetation patterns along an altitudinal gradient in sub-alpine zone of west Himalaya, India. African Journal of Plant Science. 2008;2(6):42-48.
2. Bijalwan A, Sharma CM, Kediyal VK. Socioeconomic status and livelihood support through traditional agroforestry systems in hill and mountain agro-ecosystems of Garhwal Himalaya, India. Indian Forester. 2011;137(12):1423-1431.
3. Bijalwan A, Swami SL, Sharma CM, Umrao R, Paliwal HB. Structure, composition and diversity of tree

vegetation in sal mixed dry tropical forest in Chhatisgarh plains of India. Indian Forester. 2011;137(4):453-462.
4. Dey A, Hemant Kumar, Sangjukta Das.Significance of non-timber forest products (NTFPS) to the tribal wealth of ranchi district, Jharkhand, Eco.Env and Cons. 2022;28(4):2014-2020.
5. Semwal RL, Nautiyal S, Rao KS, Maikhuri RK, Bhandari BS. Structure of forests under community conservation: A preliminary study of Jardhar village initiative in Garhwal Himalaya. ENVIS bulletin-Himalayan Ecology and Development. 1999;7(2):16-27.
6. Singh B, Sharma KN, Kumar D. Influence of tree species on improvement of soil fertility status in central zone of Punjab. Indian Journal of Agrfoforetry. 2011;13(1):35-39.
7. Umrao R, Mehera B, Khare N, Kumar H. Structure and floristic composition of existing Agroforestry Systems in Fatehpur District of Uttar Pradesh, India. Current World Environment. 2017;12(1):124.
8. Bhandari BS, Tiwari SC. Dominance and diversity along an altitudinal gradient in a montane forest of Garhwal Himalaya. Proc. Indian National Science Academy. 1997; 64:437-446.
9. Buvaneswaran C, George M, Manivachakam P, Subramanian V. Comparative studies on the performance and productivity of teak in farmland and in forest plantation. Rangemanagment and Agroforestry. 2001;22(1):113-117.
10. Kumar BM, Suman Jacob George, Chiiamani S. Diversity, structure and standing stock of wood in the homegardens of Kerala in peninsular India. Agroforestry Systems. 1994;25:243-262.
11. Malik NZ, Arshad M, Mirza SN. Phytosociological attributes of different plant communities of PirChinasi Hills of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2007;9(4):569-574.
12. Menon ARR, Suraj MA. Phytosociological Analysis of woody vegetation along an altitudinal gradient in Ponmudi Hill, Thrissur District, Kerala, South India. Indian Forester. 2009;135(6):799-806.
13. Minj AV, Quli SMS. Impact of Agroforestry on socio-economic status of respondents. Indian Forester. 2000;126(7): 788-791.
14. Mishra R. Ecology work book. Oxford and IBH publishing co, Calcutta. 1968;244.
15. Chaurasia S, Mishra K. Phytososiological study of kushmi forest division of Gorakhpur Uttar Pradesh, Int. J. Agriworld. 2022;3(2):20-33.
16. Mishra K, Kumar H Mishra NN, Bhagyashree Kesherwani. Tree species composition, biomass and carbon stocks in Urban Green Spaces of Prayagraj, Eco. Env and Cons. 2022;28(4):1912-1919.
17. Rajvanshi RV, Kumar W, Bachpai, Rajgopaland Raj SFH. Herbaceous under growth in some forest habitat in Nilgiris. Indian Forester. 1987;113(9):599-608.
18. Ralhan PK, Saxena AK, Singh JJ. Analysis of forest vegetation at and around Nainital in KumaonHimalaya.Proc. Indian National Science Academy. 1982;48:121-137.
19. Ranganathan CR. Studies in the ecology of the Shola grassland vegetation of the Nilgiri plateau. Indian Forester. 1938; 64:523-541.
20. Saravanan S, Ravichandra K, Balsubramanian A, Paneerselvam K. Structure and floristic composition of tree diversity in Andaman tropical evergreen forest of middle Andaman, India. Indian Journal of Forestry. 2013;36(2):167- 171.
21. Saxena AK, Singh JS. A phytosociological analysis of woody species in forest communities of a part of Kumaon Himalaya. Vegitatio. 1982;50:3-32.
22. Chaurasia S, Hemant Kumar, Kumud Dubey, Agarwal YK. Assessment of growing stock, above ground biomass and sequestered carbon in sal dominated kushmi forest Gorakhpur, Indian Forester. 2020;146(11):1041-1045.
23. Rawat VS, Chandhok A. Phytosociological analysis and distribution patterns of tree species: A case study from Govind Pashu Vihar, National Park, Uttarakhand. New York Science Journal. 2009;2(4):58-63.
24. Chandra S Negi, Nautiyal S. Phyto-sociological studies of a traditional reserve forest-ThalkeDhar Pithoragarh, Central Himalayas (India); 2005.
25. Dwivedi AP. Babul (Acacia nilotica): A multipurpose tree of dry areas. Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur, India. 1993;69.
26. Hussain MS, Sultana A, Khan JA, Khan A. Species composition and community structure of forest stands in Kumaon

Himalaya, Uttarakhand, India. Tropical Ecology. 2008;49(2):167-181.
27. Kharkwal G, Rawat YS. Structure and composition of vegetation in subtropical forest of KumaunHimalaya. African Journal of Plant Science. 2010;4(4):116-121.
28. Singh, Beniwal SK. Socio-economic development through community Forest. Natl. Seminar on com. Forestry: Biodiversity of Forest species, May2-3,Abs and Souvenir, ISTS, Solan and M.S.Swaminathan Research Foundation. 1995;88.
29. Umrao R, Mehera B, Khare N, Hemant Kumar. Structure and floristic composition of existing agroforestry systems in Fatehpur district of U.P. Current World Environment. 2017;12(1):124-131.
30. Verma RK, Totey NG, Gupta BN. Analysis of forest vegetation in the permanent preservation plot of Tamna in Orissa. Indian Forester. 1997;123(11):1007-1016.
31. Mughal AH, Ara T, Bhattacharya P. Socio-Economic aspects of Agroforestry in rural Srinagar of Kashmir valley. Indian Forester. 2000;126(3):234-240.
32. Negi BS, Chauhan DS, Todaria NP. Comparative plant diversity between panchayat and adjoining reserve forests in Garhwal Himalaya. Indian Journal of Forestry. 2008;31(4):585-593.
33. Negi CS. Role of traditional knowledge and beliefs in conservation-case studies from Central Himalaya, India. Man in India. 2003;83(3&4):371-391.
34. Pandey DN. Multifunctional agroforestry systems in India. Current Science. 2007;92(4).
35. Pandey U, Singh JS. Energetics of Hill agro-ecosystem: A case study from Central Himalayas. Agricultural Systems. 1984;13:83-85.
36. Pane VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR Publication, New Delhi; 1978.
37. Kumar, Bhatt. Plant biodiversity and conservation of forests in foot hills of Garhwal Himalaya. Lyonia a Journal of Ecology and Application. Des. 2006;1-19.
38. Shannan C, Weaver W. The mathematical theory of communication. University of Illinois press, Urbana, U.S.A. 1963;117.
39. Marglef DR. Information Theory in Ecology. Year book of the society for General Systems Research. 1958;3:36-71.
40. Pielou EA. Ecological Diversity. John Wiley and Sons. New York. 1975;165.
41. Knight DH. A phytosociological analysis of species rich tropical forests on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Ecol.Monogr. 1975;45:259-289.
42. Jose S, Sreepathy A, Kumar B, Venugopal VK. Structural, Floristic and edaphic attributes of the grassland-Shola forests of Eravikulam in Penunsular India. For. Eco. Management. 1994;65(2-3):279-291.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115318