

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 22, Page 704-712, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.108970 ISSN: 2320-7035

Production of Lac and Seed of *Cajanus cajan* under Varying Stresses

Sahab Kumar Patel ^{a++*}, Moni Thomas ^{b#}, Gopilal Anjana ^{a++}, Rahul Patidar ^{a++}, Deep Kumar Pahalwan ^{c†}, Manish Bhan ^{d‡}, Alok Bajpai ^{e^}, Anil Kumar Singh ^{f##} and Niraj Tripathi ^{g#^}

^a Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh), India. ^b Institute of Agri Business Management, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.

^c College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.

^d Department of Physics and Agrometeorology, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh, India.

^e Department of Soil and Water Engineering, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh), India.

^f Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, JNKVV, Jabalpur Madhya Pradesh, India.

^g Directorate of Research Services, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i224181

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108970

> Received: 25/09/2023 Accepted: 02/12/2023 Published: 06/12/2023

Original Research Article

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 22, pp. 704-712, 2023

⁺⁺ Ph.D. research scholar;

[#] Director;

[†] Director Farms;

[‡] Assistant Professor;

Associate Professor;

^{##} Scientist;

^{#^} Research Associate;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sahabkumarpatel0@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

Production pulse and lac from the same plant of *Cajanus cajan* simultaneously provides two cash crops from the same plant is important for pigeonpea growers of both continents - Africa and Asia. The highest raw lac production per plant was 171.17 g while the highest seed yield was 1383.33 g per plant. *C. cajan* plant with least stress i.e., less lac insects had highest 100 lac cell weight 1.57 g (Low biotic stress) while it was 1.41 g in plants with (Medium biotic stress). The seed yield per plant was highest (1137.78 g) in plants under high biotic stress. It was least (872.22 g) in plants with Low biotic stress.

Keywords: Stress; Lac; cajanus cajan.

1. INTRODUCTION

Interaction of insect - plant and weather factors are intrinsically related to the growth and survival of both the living organism [1]. Agroclimatic zones and local weather factors play an important role in the growth of plants [2]. Apart from weather factors, nutrient and moisture status of the soil [3] are also crucial for plant development. Insect - plant relationship is one among the widely studied subject [4] are behaviour changes and varying interactions between the two. Plant attract phytophagus insects. Host plants are important in the food web of phytophagus insects. Thus, phytophagus insects exert biotic stress on its host [5]. This stress invariably impacts the growth and development of the host plant. Interestingly, no organisms are free from abiotic and biotic stress [5].

Pigeonpea (C. cajan) is one among the most popular pulse crop in the world. Its split seeds known as daal in India is widely consumed [6]. This leguminous crop is grown as rainfed crop through the country [7], in an area of 4,550 thousand hectares [6]. The crop is attacked by insect pests which reduces its yield by 15 to 25 percent [8]. C. caian is a good host plant of lac insects [9-10]. The lac has economic importance. Lac is an export commodity [11]. India is the largest producer of lac in the world [12-13]. Lac is also a cash crop [14-16] and therefore plays an important role in the socio - economy of small and marginal farmers. Thus, both pigeonpea and lac are important crops obtained from the same crop simultaneously [7]. The present study was to explore the performance of C. cajan with different load of lac insects and soil moisture for yield of lac and grain. In this context the present field study was conducted.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. cajan is generally grown in rainfed condition, is also a good annual host plant of lac insect.

There is a possibility of production of both seed and lac yield on *C. cajan* [7]. *C. cajan* is widely reported to have biotic stress due to insect pest on it [8,17]. Lac insect is phloem sap feeder [7] and hence imparts biotic stress. The present field study was conducted to evaluate the yield of both lac and seed of *C. cajan* by adjusting different level of biotic and abiotic stress on the host plant. The biotic stress due to insect pest on *C. cajan* was minimised with periodic spray of contact insecticides [18]. The varying level of biotic stress i.e., No, Low, Medium and High level was maintained on *C. cajan* plants with lac insects on it.

2.1 Experimental Details

The field trial was conducted at Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, M.P during the year kharif-Rabi season in 2020-21. The field experiment was in a Factorial Randomized Completely Blocked Design (RCBD) with three replications comprising of two factors viz., settlement of lac insect on varying number of branches and varied level of irrigation on pigeonpea crop. The experiment consisted of twenty-one treatment combinations with seven level of lac insects settlement (L1 to L7) and three levels of irrigation (W1 to W3). The data on different yield parameters viz., lac and seed yield of C. cajan were statistically analysed.

2.2 Treatment Details

A. Biotic stress

Low biotic stress

- a. *C. cajan* with one primary branch and its secondary branches with lac insects (L₁)
- b. *C. cajan* with two primary branches and its secondary branches with lac insects (L₂)

Medium biotic stress

- a. *C. cajan* with three primary branches and its secondary branches with lac insects(L₃)
- b. *C. cajan* with four primary branches and its secondary branches with lac insects (L₄)

High biotic stress

- a. *C. cajan* with five primary branches and its secondary branches with lac insects (L₅)
- b. All the primary branches and its secondary branches with lac insects (L₆)

No biotic stress

C. cajan with no lac insects and no insect pest (L₇)

There were three abiotic stresses in this experiment was soil moisture stress. It is believed that managing the irrigation per plant through drips, will create different level of moisture stress in soil that will impact the host plant. The abiotic stresses were of three levels

B. Abiotic stress

Low abiotic stress

It was maintained by adjusting the drip irrigation with 8 litres per hour per plant at seven days interval.

Medium abiotic stress

The irrigation was 4 litres per plant per hour at seven days interval

High abiotic stress

The irrigation was 2 litres per plant per hour at seven days interval

2.3 Layout of the Main Field

The experimental layout in the main field was planned in plot size of 62 feet x 42 feet to accommodate 63 *C. cajan* plants. Plant to plant and row to row spacing was maintained at six feet while it was ten feet between the replications. Transplantation of *C. cajan* seedlings were done in the evening hours of 16.08.2020, in polypropylene bags (PPB) filled with forty-five kg of homogeneous substrate [9].

2.4 Nursery Raising of C. cajan

Nursery of *C. cajan* was raised in polythene bag of size 18 x 16 cm substrate filled with (Kapu +

FYM) in equal ratio. The seeds treated with *Trichoderma viridae*, *Rhizobium* and PSB were sown in substrate filled polythene bag with perforation. Perforated polythene bags with seedlings were irrigated at weekly intervals. Excess irrigation water drained out from the perforation. The polythene bags were stored in the shade. Insecticides were sprayed on the seedlings to avoid insect pest infestation. The seedlings growth tips were nipped at 15 days intervals till transplantation.

2.4.1 Substrate

C. cajan seedlings were transplanted in polypropylene bags (PPB). The substrate was a combination of well-rotted farmyard manure (FYM) and river bed basin soil (Kapu). The substrate consisted of 30 kg of soil and 15 kg of FYM. The soil and FYM were filled in the PPB in layers i.e., soil followed by FYM. A *tasala* was used to fill the substrate in the PPB. After each filling the PPB was vigorously shaked for compactness.

2.4.2 Irrigation

Each PPB with a *C. cajan* plant was irrigated using a drip irrigation system as per the treatment schedule. There was no irrigation from July to September 2020 owing to rain. Irrigation from October 2020 to May 2021 was 7-day interval.

2.4.3 Brood lac inoculation

On October 30, 2020, *Rangeeni* brood lac inoculation (BLI) was done on the *C. cajan*. The brood was purchsed from Adarsh Lac Samiti in Jamankhari village, Tehsil Barghat, District Seoni, M.P. The quality brood lac brought from Seoni to the experiment was predator-free brood. Brood lac stick at the rate of 15g per *C. cajan* was tied to the plant with the help of a jute twine.

2.4.4 Phunki removal

Phunki removal procedure involves removing the brood lac sticks after 21 days of its BLI from *C. cajan* without harming the newly settled lac brood on the branches. This process was followed as per the protocol suggested by Patidar et al. [7], Khichi et al.19].

2.4.5 Marking of slot

Usually by 30^{th} day after BLI, majority nymphs of *K. lacca* leaves the brood lac cells to settle on

the host plant. After settlement the crawlers becomes sedentary by inserting its stylets into the phloem tissues. Thirty days after BLI, branches with good lac insect settlement were selected for marking of slot. The slot making was followed as suggested by Vajpayee et al. [9]. A slot of 1cm width and 2.5cm length was marked on the branch bearing good settlement of lac insects. Three slots S₁, S₂ and S₃ were made on single branch each of 2.5cm², tagged with the help of woolen threads of different colour for different slots. Stretching a thread between the index fingers of both the hands, the insect settlement adjacent to the boundaries of the slot was carefully removed to make the slot clearly differentiated from the rest of the lac settlement on the branch

2.4.6 Harvest of pods

Harvesting was done by hand picking of mature pods separately. There were two hand pickings. Harvesting was on the maturity of 80 percent pods. The first and second pickings done on last week of December 2020 and first week of April 2021 respectively. The harvested pods were counted, dried weighed, threshed for grain yield during successive pickings and maintained a record.

2.4.7 Harvest of lac crop

C. cajan with lac crop was harvested on 27.05.2021 by cutting the plant from its base. The harvested *C. cajan* plant with lac crop was shade dried for four days and all the branches with lac encrustation were kept and tagged. The lac was scrapped from the plant after placing it on a clean plastic sheet. The lac obtained was dried and weighed to record the data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mean weight of seed in 1^{st} and 2^{nd} picking.The mean weight of seed (MWS) in first picking revealed significant difference among the factor A, factor B and their interactions. *C. cajan* plant with Lac insects on five primary branches and its secondary branches (L₅ - High biotic stress) was found to be associated with the highest seed yield (608.89 g). Lowest seed yield was in L₃ – Medium biotic stress (477.78 g). During the 1^{st} picking the weather was favourable (December), During this period lac insects on the host was 56 days old from BLI and was in its immature stage, at this stage the phloem sap intake by immature lac insects may have been less, resulting in less

biotic stress, Favourable weather also had minimum abiotic stress. This may be the reasons for non-significant difference among the treatments (Table 1).

Among the irrigation level, highest seed yield (599.52 g) was recorded in *C. cajan* plant with (W₃ - Low abiotic stress). The seed yield in W₂ - Medium abiotic stress (555.71 g) was found at par with W₁ - High abiotic stress (450.95 g). The total irrigation water per plant given from 6.10.2020 to 1^{st} picking (31.12.2020) was 52 litres (W₁), 104 litres (W₂) and 208 litres (W₃).

The yield attributes viz., number of pods per plant, weight of pods per plant and seed yield per plant were improved significantly with three irrigations as compared to two irrigations and rainfed treatment earlier reports also indicated similar trends [20-22].

Among interactions of the treatment combination L_5W_2 (783.33 g) had significantly highest seed yield. The seed yield in the combination L_6W_3 (666.67 g) and L_2W_3 (730 g) was found at par with L_5W_2 . Rest of the treatments were at par with each other. In the 2nd picking, the MWS varied from 366.67g (L_1 - Low biotic stress) to 547.78g (L_4 – Medium biotic stress). The MWS was significantly highest in L₄ (547.78g) followed by L_5 (528.89g) and L_6 (541.67g). Rest of the treatments were at par with each other.

Highest seed yield was recorded in 560.48 g (W₃ - Low abiotic stress). Lowest seed yield was recorded in W₁ - High abiotic stress (398.33 g). W₂ - Medium abiotic stress (508,10g) was at par with W₃. There was a reduction in MWS in 2nd picking. It may be due to the increased biotic stress imposed by lac insects as compare to the 1st picking. Podding and rapidly growing lac insects may have exerted extra biotic stress on the plant [19]. During the second picking the flowering to podding stage was from January to which had extreme weather April with temperature. The maximum and minimum mean 17.1°C temperature was 38.1°C and respectively. Rainfall was just 0.6mm, the lac insects were in adult stage drawing more phloem sap from the host plant adding biotic stress. Thus, both type of stress was more during second picking. Among interactions treatment combination L₆W₃ (673.33 g) showed significantly highest seed yield. The total seed yield per plant of both the pickings was highest (1137.78 g) in L₅. It was lowest (872.22 g) in L₁. Application of irrigation W₃ (@ 8lph) was found to be associated with highest seed yield (1160 g). It was lowest (849.29 g) in W_1 . However, in W_2 (1063.81g) was found at par with W_3 (1160 g). The total additional water was given from 6.10.2020 to 11.05.2021 was 132 litres (W_1), 264 litres (W_2),

and 528 litres (W₃). Among interactions treatment combination L_5W_2 (1383.33 g) showed significantly highest seed yield, while it was lowest (570 g) in L_1W_1 (666.67 g).

| Treatments | Mean seed yield per plant (g) 2020-21 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | 1 st Picking | 2 nd Picking | Total | | |
| Factor A (Biotic s | stress) | | | | |
| L ₁ | 505.56 | 366.67 | 872.22 | | |
| L ₂ | 592.22 | 480.00 | 1072.22 | | |
| L ₃ | 477.78 | 486.67 | 964.44 | | |
| L ₄ | 532.22 | 547.78 | 1080.00 | | |
| L_5 | 608.89 | 528.89 | 1137.78 | | |
| L ₆ | 524.44 | 541.67 | 1066.11 | | |
| L ₇ | 506.67 | 471.11 | 977.78 | | |
| SEm(±) | 61.93 | 47.13 | | | |
| CD (5%) | 177.01 | 134.71 | | | |
| Factor B (Abiotic | ; stress) | | | | |
| W1 | 450.95 | 398.33 | 849.29 | | |
| W2 | 555.71 | 508.10 | 1063.81 | | |
| W ₃ | 599.52 | 560.48 | 1160.00 | | |
| SEm(±) | 40.54 | 30.85 | | | |
| CD (5%) | 115.88 | 88.19 | | | |
| Interaction (AxB) | | | | | |
| L_1W_1 | 350.00 | 220.00 | 570.00 | | |
| L_2W_1 | 573.33 | 516.67 | 1090.00 | | |
| L_3W_1 | 346.67 | 336.67 | 683.33 | | |
| L_4W_1 | 526.67 | 446.67 | 973.33 | | |
| L₅W1 | 476.67 | 520.00 | 996.67 | | |
| L ₆ W₁ | 460.00 | 441.67 | 901.67 | | |
| L_7W_1 | 423.33 | 306.67 | 730.00 | | |
| L_1W_2 | 546.67 | 426.67 | 973.33 | | |
| L_2W_2 | 473.33 | 476.67 | 950.00 | | |
| L ₃ W ₂ | 510.00 | 466.67 | 976.67 | | |
| L4W2 | 603.33 | 576.67 | 1180.00 | | |
| L_5W_2 | 783.33 | 600.00 | 1383.33 | | |
| L_6W_2 | 446.67 | 510.00 | 956.67 | | |
| L_7W_2 | 526.67 | 500.00 | 1026.67 | | |
| L_1W_3 | 620.00 | 453.33 | 1073.33 | | |
| L ₂ W ₃ | 730.00 | 446.67 | 1176.67 | | |
| L ₃ W ₃ | 576.67 | 656.67 | 1233.33 | | |
| L_4W_3 | 466.67 | 620.00 | 1086.67 | | |
| L ₅ W ₃ | 566.67 | 466.67 | 1033.33 | | |
| L ₆ W ₃ | 666.67 | 673.33 | 1340.00 | | |
| L7W3 | 570.00 | 606.67 | 1176.67 | | |
| SEm(±) | 107.27 | 81.63 | | | |
| CD (5%) | 306.60 | 233.32 | | | |

3.1 Raw Lac Yield Per Plant

C. cajan plant were harvested on 27.05.2021 for lac yield by cutting the plants from its base. The sticklac was scrapped to obtain raw lac. Raw lac is the marketable produce. The mean lac yield per plant of *C. cajan* in settlement of lac insects on varying number of branches varied from 23.96 g in L₁ (Low biotic stress) to 152.72 g in L₆ (High biotic stress). The latter (L₆) was significantly higher than all the treatments (Table 2).

The mean lac yield per plant of *C. cajan* in different levels of irrigation (Soil moisture stress) varied from 49.17 g (W_{1-} High abiotic stress) to 58.76 g (W_3 - Low abiotic stress). The latter W_3 was significantly higher than W_1 but was at par with (W_2 – Medium abiotic stress). The total volume of water per plant was 132 litres (W_1), 264 litres (W_2) and 528 litres (W_3).

The mean lac yield per plant of *C. cajan* due to the interactions of Lac insect settlement and levels of irrigation varied from 20.83 g (L_1W_1) to 171.17 g (L_6W_1). The latter (L_6W_1) was significantly higher than all the interactions. The productivity of lac also depends on the variety [23] reported 350g of lac from *C. cajan*. Earlier workers have reported the per plant yield of lac 3.74 g to 29.45 g [24], 332.33 g to 446 g [9] in *C. cajan*. Thus, when compared to wild lac host trees like *B. monosperma* it was 0.58 kg to 2.10 kg [25], 2.03 kg to 4.01 kg [26] and *Z. mauritiana* 3.83 to 5.08 kg [15].

3.2 Mean Length of Sticklac on the C. cajan

The total length of branches on the host plant with lac insects from which raw lac is scrapped is the sticklac. The mean length of sticklac per plant of C. cajan in settlement of lac insect on varying number of branches varied from 104.89 cm (L1-Low biotic stress) to 450.83 cm (L₆ -High biotic stress). The latter (L₆) was significantly higher than all the treatments. The mean length of sticklac per plant of C. cajan in different levels of irrigation varied from 190.62 cm (W1 - High abiotic stress) to 193.75 cm (W₃ - Low abiotic stress). There was no significant difference among the treatments. The total volume of water per plant was 132 litres (W1), 264 litres (W2) and 528 litres (W₃). The mean length of sticklac per plant of C. cajan in varying number of branches with Lac insect and levels of irrigation varied from 99.67 cm (L_1W_1) to 468.83 cm (L_6W_1). The latter (L_6W_1) was significantly higher than all the interactions. Mean length of stick lac and lac yield per plant has to be less in $(L_1 - Low biotic stress)$ because of only lac insects on a primary branch and its secondary branches, while it was on all primary branches and their secondary branches per plant in $(L_6 - High biotic stress)$.

3.3 Mean Weight of 100 Dry Lac Cell (MWHL)

The mean weight of 100 lac cells on *C. cajan* with lac insects on varying number of branches varied from 1.41 g in L₃ (Medium biotic stress) to 1.57 g in L₁ (Low biotic stress). The latter L₁ was significantly higher than (L₃) but was at par with L₄ (1.50 g), L₂ (1.48g) and L₆ (1.48g).

The mean weight of 100 lac cell of *C. cajan* in different levels of irrigation varied from 1.24 g (W_1 - High abiotic stress)) to 1.28 g (W_3 - Low abiotic stress)). The latter (W_3) was significantly higher than (W_1) but was at par with (W_2 - Medium abiotic stress)). The total volume of water per plant was 132 litres (W_1), 264 litres (W_2) and 528 litres (W_3).

Dash represents no brood lac inoculation. The mean weight of 100 lac cells on C. cajan due to the interactions of Lac insects on varying number of branches and levels of irrigation varied from 1.38g (L_1W_1) to 1.65 g (L_2W_1). The latter (L_2W_1) was significantly higher than all the interactions. However, the mean 100 lac cell weight in L₁W₁ and L_4W_2 was same (1.51 g) and was at par with L_6W_1 (1.53g), $L_2W_2(1.59)$, $L_1W_3(1.55g)$, L_4W_3 (1.52g) and L_5W_3 (1.57g). The weight of each lac cell has a direct relationship to the quality and quantity of phloem sap that was access to the female lac insect [26]. The secretion of lac decreased gradually in the mid late adult stage of female lac insect [27]. This means C. cajan with one primary branch and its secondary branches (L₁) and irrigation level (W₃) provides better quality and quantity of phloem sap, which may have promoted lac insect to secrete more resin. However, the mean weight of 100 lac cell was significantly higher in L₁. This is evident as less insects with abundant availability of food reduces the competition in the population. This helps the insects to grow better and produce more lac by the insects. The mean weight of 100 lac cell reported by earlier workers was 13.16 to 38.33 mg [28], 2.02g to 2.12g [29], 2.24g to 2.54g [30], 1.79g to 3.42g [31], 5.54g to 6.90g [16], 5.18g to 6.30g [32], 3.82g to 5.18g [33], 3.03g to 3.68g [25], 4.66g to 6.33g [34], 4.95g to 8.21g [26], 3.03 to 3.12 [9].

| | Mean stick lac | Mean weight (g) | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Treatments | length (cm) | Lac yield per | 100 lac cells | Lac per 2.5 | | |
| | | plant | | cm ² slot | | |
| Factor A (Biotic stress) | | | | | | |
| L ₁ | 104.89 | 23.96 | 1.57 | 0.72 | | |
| L ₂ | 143.39 | 34.28 | 1.48 | 0.62 | | |
| L ₃ | 177.41 | 44.22 | 1.41 | 0.56 | | |
| L ₄ | 212.05 | 55.06 | 1.50 | 0.60 | | |
| L ₅ | 257.08 | 68.44 | 1.46 | 0.57 | | |
| L ₆ | 450.83 | 152.72 | 1.48 | 0.64 | | |
| L ₇ | - | - | - | - | | |
| SEm(±) | 3.34 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | |
| CD (5%) | 9.56 | 2.44 | 0.06 | 0.04 | | |
| Factor B (Abiotic stress) | | | | | | |
| W1 | 190.62 | 49.17 | 1.24 | 0.50 | | |
| W ₂ | 192.34 | 54.37 | 1.29 | 0.53 | | |
| W ₃ | 193.75 | 58.76 | 1.28 | 0.56 | | |
| SEm(±) | 2.19 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.01 | | |
| CD (5%) | 6.26 | 1.60 | 0.04 | 0.03 | | |
| Interaction (AxB) | | | | | | |
| L ₁ W ₁ | 99.67 | 20.33 | 1.51 | 0.78 | | |
| L_2W_1 | 140.16 | 28.67 | 1.38 | 0.61 | | |
| L ₃ W ₁ | 171.67 | 39.83 | 1.41 | 0.49 | | |
| L ₄ W ₁ | 210.33 | 51.67 | 1.46 | 0.54 | | |
| L_5W_1 | 243.67 | 64.33 | 1.42 | 0.45 | | |
| L_6W_1 | 468.83 | 139.33 | 1.53 | 0.65 | | |
| L ₇ W ₁ | - | - | - | - | | |
| L_1W_2 | 109.67 | 24.89 | 1.65 | 0.67 | | |
| L_2W_2 | 146.33 | 35.83 | 1.59 | 0.62 | | |
| L_3W_2 | 178.67 | 44.67 | 1.43 | 0.54 | | |
| L_4W_2 | 208.50 | 56.17 | 1.51 | 0.66 | | |
| L_5W_2 | 251.89 | 71.33 | 1.39 | 0.63 | | |
| L_6W_2 | 451.33 | 147.67 | 1.45 | 0.58 | | |
| L_7W_2 | - | - | - | - | | |
| L ₁ W ₃ | 105.33 | 26.67 | 1.55 | 0.72 | | |
| L_2W_3 | 143.67 | 38.33 | 1.46 | 0.63 | | |
| L ₃ W ₃ | 181.89 | 48.17 | 1.40 | 0.65 | | |
| L_4W_3 | 217.33 | 57.33 | 1.52 | 0.59 | | |
| L_5W_3 | 275.67 | 69.67 | 1.57 | 0.62 | | |
| L ₆ W ₃ | 432.33 | 171.17 | 1.47 | 0.68 | | |
| L_7W_3 | - | - | - | - | | |
| SEm(±) | 5.79 | 1.48 | 0.04 | 0.03 | | |
| CD(5%) | 16.55 | 4.23 | 0.10 | 0.08 | | |

Table 2. Lac yield per plant

3.4 Mean Weight of Lac Per 2.5cm² Slot

The mean weight of lac per 2.5 cm² of *C. cajan* in settlement of lac insect on varying number of branches varied from 0.56 g (L₃ Medium biotic stress) to 0.72g (L₁ – Low biotic stress). The latter L₁ was significantly higher than (L₃) but was at par with L₂ (0.62 g), and L₆ (0.64 g).

The mean weight of lac per 2.5cm² of *C. cajan* in different levels of irrigation varied from 0.50 g

 $(W_1$ - High abiotic stress) to 0.56 g $(W_3$ - Low abiotic stress). The latter (W_3) was significantly higher than all the levels of irrigation. However, (W_1) was at par with $(W_2$ - Medium abiotic stress).

The mean weight of lac per 2.5cm^2 of *C. cajan* due to the interactions of Lac insect settlement and levels of irrigation varied from 0.45 g (L₅W₁) to 0.78 g (L₁W₁). The latter (L₁W₁) was significantly higher than all the interactions but

was at par with L_6W_3 (0.68 g). However, the interaction L_3W_1 (0.49 g) was at par with L_4W_1 and L_3W_2 (0.54 g).

The mean weight of lac per 2.5 cm² slot was significantly higher in L₁ this means plants with less lac insects have more photosynthate as its disposal than those *C. cajan* plants with more branches loaden with lac insects. Thus, *C. cajan* with less abiotic and biotic stress had higher mean weight of lac per 2.5 cm² slot. The mean weight of lac per 2.5 cm² reported by earlier worker it was 0.25 g to 0.97 g [9].

4. CONCLUSION

The study explores the performance of *C. cajan* with different load of lac insects and soil moisture for yield of lac and grain. In this context the present field study was conducted. This is evident that as less insects with abundant availability of food reduces the competition in the population. This helps the insects to grow better and produce more lac by the insects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Directorate of Research Services, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, M.P for the logistic and financial support to carried out the field trial.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bernays EA. Interaction of insects and plants Sage Publications, Ltd. Science Progress. 1992;76;(2):247-27.
- Chattopadhyay N, Sahai AK, Guhathakurta P, Dutta S, Srivastava AK, Attri SD, Balasubramanian R, Malathi K and Chandras S. Impact of observed climate change on the classification of agroclimatic zones in India Current Science Association. 2019;117(3):480-486
- Nagraj B, Sandip P, Deodas M. Moisture stress effect on pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) yield and growth attributing characters International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016;8(47): 1970-1973
- 4. Robert D, Hancock, Saskia H and Christine H. Mechanisms of plant-

insect interaction, Journal of Experimental Botany. 2015;66;(2):421-424.

- 5. Gull A, Lone A, Wani NI. Biotic and Abiotic Stresses in Plants Retrieved from; 2019. DOI:10.5772/intechopen.85832.
- 6. Vennila M, Murthy C. Trend analysis of area, production and productivity in pigeonpea, International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2021;17(2):476-485.
- Patidar R, Vajpayee S, Kakade S, Thomas M, Tripathi N, Upadhyay A, Namdev BK, Kurmi A, Sharma HL, and Kulhare PS. Simultaneous production of both Lac and Pulse from Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L) Millsp.] for doubling farmers' income Legume Research:2021.
- 8. Lateef SS, Reed W. Insect pests of pigeonpea. 1990;65(5):193–242.
- Vajpayee S, Patidar R, Kakade S, Thomas M, Tripathi N, Bhowmick AK. Effect of population density of Kerria lacca Kerr. on Rangeeni lac production. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(6): 2014-2018.
- Ghosh J, Lohot VD, Singhal V, Ghosal S, Sharma KK. Pigeonpea Lac insect interaction: Effect of lac culture on grain yield and biochemical parameters in pigeonpea. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed. 2014;74:644-650.
- 11. Anon. Annual climate summary Government of India, Ministry of Earth Sciences, India meteorological department, pune, India. 2005;1-25.
- 12. Pal G. Analysis of export scenario and potential of Indian lac. Indian forest. 2015;533-537
- Yogi RK, Bhattacharya A, Jaiswal AK and Kumar A. Lac, Plant Resins and Gums Statistics 2014: At a Glance. ICAR-Indian Institute of Natural Resins and Gums, Ranchi (Jharkhand), India. Bulletin (Technical). 2014;07/2015:01-68.
- Jaiswal AK, Roy S, Roy MM. Lac-based agroforestry system for degraded lands in India. In: Dagar JC, Gupta SR, Teketay D. (eds) Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes. Springer, Singapore; 2020.
- Namdev BK, Thomas M, Kurmi A, Thakur AS, Upadhyaya A. Impact of nutrient management of Zizyphus mauritiana (Lamb.) on the yield of kusmi lac. J. Lifesci. 2015;10(3):1219-1222.
- Shah TH, Thomas M. Survival of kusumi lac insect Kerria lacca (Kerr) on nutrient managed Zizyphus mauritiana. Indian J. Entomol. 2015;80(1):56-63.

- Shanower TG, Romeis J, Minja EM. Insect pests of pigeonpea and their management. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 1999;44:77–96.
- 18. Khichi A, Thomas M, Kakade S, Patil DB, Raut V, Tripathi N, Saxena AK, Upadhyay A, Sharma HL. 2021. Survival of lac Insects on Pigeonpea genotypes International Journal Current of Microbiology and Applied Sciences 10(02):1465-1475
- Khichi A, Thomas M, Patil DB, Kakade S, Raut S, Saxena AK, Upadhyay A, Tripathi N. Rangeeni lac production on [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp]. Plant Archives. 2023;23(1):350-357.
- 20. Chaudhari GB, Shaikh AM, Patel KI, Kumar M. Relationship between pigeonpea leaf area index and actual evapotranspiration. J. Agrometeorology. 2004;6:33-37.
- Gajera MS and Ahlawat RPS. Optimization of irrigation and evaluation of consumptive water use efficiency for rabi pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp]. Legume Res. 2006;29(2):140-142.
- 22. Reddy MM, Padmaja B, Rao LJ. Response of rabi pigeonpea to irrigation scheduling and weed management in Alfisols. J. Food Legumes .2008;21(4):237-239.
- Sharma S, Swami H, Lekha BC, Bairwa HL. Life cycle of lac insect on different hosts. Indian J. Appl. Ent. 2018;32(1):19–23.
- 24. Kalahal C, Swami H, Lekha. Productivity linked parameters of the Rangeeni strain Lac Insect, Kerria lacca (Kerr) on Pigeonpea, Cajanus cajan Linn. Rajasthan Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies .2017;5(3):1745-1751.
- Sharma H, Ghugal SG, Gurjar R, Thomas M, Rajwat BS. Performance of Kerria lacca (Kerr) in response to foliar application of Nutrients on Butea monosperma. An International Quarterly Journal of Environmental Science. Special. 2015;8I:355-359.
- 26. Kumar S, Thomas M, Lal N, Markam VK. Effect of nutrition in Palas (Butea

monosperma Lam.) on the survivability of lac insect, The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2017;6(8):193-197

- 27. Wang W, Liu P, Lu Q, Ling X, Zhang J, Chen MS, Chen H, Chen X. Potential pathways and genes involved in lac synthesis and secretion in Kerria chinensis (Hemiptera: Kerriidae) based on Transcriptomic analyses. Insects .2019;10 (12):430.
- Mishra YD, Sushil SN, Bhattacharya A, Kumar S, Mallick A, Sharma KK. Intra specific variation in host plants affecting productivity of Indian lac insect, Kerria lacca (Kerr). Journal of Non-Timber Forest Products .1999;6(3/4):114-116.
- 29. Engla Y. Study on predator management on Baishakhi crop of Rangeeni on Zizyphus mauritiana in Janamkhari village, Seoni district, Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis. JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P; 2011.
- Janghel S. Study on comparative efficacy of insecticides in Katki crop for predator management on Rangeeni lac crop on Zizyphus mauritiana in Malara village, Seoni District. M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis. JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P; 2013.
- 31. Patel B. Comparative performance of Kusmi and Rangeeni lac on Ber. M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis. JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P; 2013.
- 32. Namdev BK, Thomas M, Kurmi A, Thakur AS, Upadhyaya A. Impact of nutrient management of Zizyphus mauritiana (lamb.) on the yield of kusmi lac. An International Quarterly Journal of life science .2015;10(3):1219-1222.
- Ghugal SG, Thomas M, Upadhyay A, Sharma HL. Foliar application of nutrients and PGR on Butea monosperma and survival of Kerria lacca (Kerr). Advances in Life Sciences. 2016;5(1): 159-163.
- Gurjar R. Study on the effect of foliar application of nitrogen and PGR on Butea monosperma on katki crop production. M.Sc (Ag.) Thesis. JNKVV, Jabalpur, M.P; 2016.

© 2023 Patel et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108970